Surface Science 630 (2014) 361-374

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Surface Science



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/susc

Coupling of single, double, and triple-decker metal-phthalocyanine complexes to ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic substrates



Alberto Lodi Rizzini ^a, Cornelius Krull ^a, Aitor Mugarza ^a, Timofey Balashov ^a, Corneliu Nistor ^{a,b}, Raoul Piquerel ^a, Svetlana Klyatskaya ^c, Mario Ruben ^{c,d}, Polina M. Sheverdyaeva ^e, Paolo Moras ^e, Carlo Carbone ^e, Christian Stamm ^b, Piter S. Miedema ^f, Pardeep K. Thakur ^g, Violetta Sessi ^g, Marcio Soares ^g, Flora Yakhou-Harris ^g, Julio C. Cezar ^g, Sebastian Stepanow ^b, Pietro Gambardella ^{a,b,h,*}

^a Catalan Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (ICN2), UAB Campus, E-08193 Barcelona, Spain

^b Department of Materials, ETH Zürich, Hönggerbergring 64, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

^c Institute of Nanotechnology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

^d Institute de Physique et Chimie de Matériaux de Strasbourg (IPCMS), UMR 7504, CNRS-Université de Strasbourg, F-67034 Strasbourg, France

^e Istituto di Struttura della Materia, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, 34012 Trieste, Italy

^f Debye Institute of Nanomaterials Science, Utrecht University, 3584 CA Utrecht, The Netherlands

^g European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, BP 220, F-38043 Grenoble, France

^h Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), E-08010 Barcelona, Spain

A R T I C L E I N F O

Available online 21 July 2014

Keywords: Phthalocyanines Exchange bias Hybrid metal-organic interfaces XMCD

ABSTRACT

We report a survey of the magnetic properties of metal-organic complexes coupled to ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic surfaces. Using element-resolved X-ray magnetic circular dichroism, we investigate the magnetism of single, double, and triple-decker phthalocyanines focusing on MnPc, TbPc₂, and Tb₂Pc₃ deposited on Ni, Mn, and CoO thin films. Depending on the number of Pc ligands, we find that the metal ions within the molecules couple either parallel or antiparallel to a ferromagnetic substrate. Whereas single-decker complexes such as MnPc form a unique magnetic entity with ferromagnetic films, the intrinsic single molecule magnet properties of TbPc₂ and Tb₂Pc₃ remain largely unaltered. TbPc₂ deposited on perpendicularly magnetized Ni films exhibits enhanced magnetic stability compared to TbPc₂ in molecular crystals, opposite to TbPc₂ deposited on in-plane magnetized Ni. Depending on the competition between uniaxial anisotropy, superexchange, and Zeeman interaction, the magnetic moment of TbPc₂ can be aligned parallel or antiparallel to that of the substrate by modulating the intensity of an external magnetic field. This occurs also for Tb_2Pc_3 , but the substrate-induced exchange coupling in triple-decker molecules is found to be short-ranged, that is, limited to the Tb ion closer to the ferromagnetic surface. Finally, we discuss the conditions required to establish exchange bias between molecules and antiferromagnetic substrates. We show that TbPc2 deposited on antiferromagnetic Mn thin films exhibits both exchange bias and enhanced coercivity when field cooled parallel to the out-of-plane easy axis. However, exchange bias does not extend to all molecules on the surface. On oxide antiferromagnets such as CoO we find no evidence of exchange bias for either TbPc₂ or MnPc.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A fascinating topic in surface science is the fabrication and study of materials that have no counterpart in bulk systems. Magnetic multilayers, in particular, provide textbook examples of unusual properties that arise from the combination of diverse elements as well as from size and interface effects [1]. In the last three decades, the investigation

E-mail address: pietro.gambardella@mat.ethz.ch (P. Gambardella).

of magnetic coupling in such systems [2,3] has led to significant advances in the ability to control their magnetization and electrical properties, which is paramount for information recording technology and spintronic applications [4].

Following recent interest in molecular spintronics [5,6], several phenomena well-known for metal- and oxide-based multilayers, such as the giant magnetoresistance [7,8], tunneling magnetoresistance [9], exchange spring magnetism [10], and exchange bias [11], have become of interest also for molecular systems [12–16]. Molecular magnets offer exciting prospects in this field due to their small size, well-defined structure, and flexibility of chemical synthesis. Yet their use in practical devices is hindered by magnetic relaxation effects as well as by the

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Materials, ETH Zürich, Hönggerbergring 64, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland.

difficulty of interfacing and embedding the molecules in solid-state electronic platforms. These difficulties have motivated recent efforts to couple magnetic molecules to ferromagnetic (FM) and antiferromagnetic (AFM) metal layers.

Because of the need to preserve a clean interface between the molecules and substrate, experiments in this area have followed a classical surface science approach, starting from the preparation of magnetic films on single crystal susbtrates, to the sublimation of molecular layers in ultra high vacuum (UHV) and the characterization by surface-sensitive techniques such as X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM). Investigations have been carried out mainly on planar metal-organic complexes such as metal-phthalocyanines (MPc), metal-tetraphenylporphyrins (MTPP), and octaethylporphyrins (MOEP), as shown in Table 1. These aromatic molecules contain a macrocycle tetrapyrrole structure with a central transition-metal ion coordinated to the N atoms of the pyrroles, and differ in the type of end groups attached to the pyrroles. Owing to their robust structure as well as versatile chemistry, such molecules have assumed the role of model systems to study the interaction of metal-organic complexes with metal surfaces [36]. Their flat adsorption geometry facilitates self-assembly into ordered layered structures [37-40] and the bonding of both the central metal ion and organic ligands to the substrate. This gives rise to charge transfer from the substrate to the π -orbitals of the macrocycle and *d*-orbitals of the metal ion, which enhances [41] or suppresses [42] the magnetic moment of the molecules depending on the symmetry of the *d*-states and the sign of the $d-\pi$ exchange coupling [36]. Despite such differences, for all planar molecules investigated to date, the interaction between the magnetic moment of the molecules and that of metal substrates has been found to be FM (see Table 1). This has been attributed to the direct exchange path between the central metal ion and the substrate atoms as well as to an indirect superexchange path via the N atoms [29,43]. Only when O or graphene is intercalated between the molecules and the substrates the coupling has been found to be AFM (see Table 1).

Fewer experiments have been dedicated to nonplanar molecules, notably to TbPc₂ [15,16,33–35], a single molecule magnet (SMM) consisting of a Tb ion sandwiched between two Pc ligands [44,45], as shown in Fig. 1(b). Compared to other SMM, this molecule has the advantage that it can be evaporated in UHV while preserving its structure and SMM properties [46,47]. When deposited onto a FM surface, the Tb magnetic moment couples antiparallel to the substrate magnetization. However, because the Tb ion does not bond directly to the surface, the strength of the exchange coupling is such that its magnetic moment can be aligned either parallel or antiparallel to the substrate by varying the strength of an external magnetic field [15]. This makes TbPc₂ a very interesting system for the realization of molecular spin valves since its magnetic properties remain different from the substrate. Further, the possibility to form multilayered compounds where metal ions are stacked between sandwich-type Pc oligomers, as in Tb₂Pc₃ and related multiple-decker complexes [48,49], makes these systems of interest to study the effects of magnetic coupling in large polynuclear molecules that extend away from the magnetic interface.

This paper focuses on the exchange coupling properties of planar and nonplanar metal–organic molecules to FM and AFM substrates. We present XMCD measurements of single-(MnPc), double-(TbPc₂), and triple-decker (Tb₂Pc₃) phthalocyanines as representative examples of stacked π -conjugate molecules in which the distance between the magnetic ions and a FM or AFM surface progressively increases (Fig. 1). We consider Ni as FM substrate for all molecules and CoO and Mn as AFM substrates. The remaining parts of this paper are organized as follows: Section 2 describes the experimental setup and XMCD measurements. Section 3 reports the characterization of molecular

Table 1

Metal-organic complexes on magnetic substrates investigated by different techniques. The type of coupling is indicated as FM (ferromagnetic), AFM (antiferromagnetic), and NC (not coupled).

Molecule	Substrate	Technique	Coupling	Ref.
Ferromagnetic substrates				
MnPc, FePc, CuPc	Fe(100)	SPMDS ^a	FM	[17]
MnPc	Co/Cu(100)	XMCD ^b	FM	[18]
MnPc, FeF ₁₆ Pc	O/Co/Cu(100)	XMCD	AFM	[19]
MnPc	Ni/Ag(100)	XMCD	FM	This work
FePc, CoPc, CuPc	Co/Cu(100)	SPUPS ^c	FM	[20]
FePc	Co/Cu(100)	XMCD	FM	[21]
FePc	O/Co/Cu(100)	XMCD	AFM	[22]
CoPc	Co/Cu(111)	SPSTM ^d	FM	[23]
CoPc	Fe/W(110)	SPSTM		[24]
CoPc	Fe/Cu(111)	XMCD	FM	[21]
MnTPP	Co/Au(111)	XMCD	FM	[25]
MnTPP	O/Co/Cu(100)	XMCD	AFM	[26]
CoTPP	Ni/Cu(100)	XMCD	FM	[27]
FeTPP	Ni/Cu(100)	XMCD	FM	[28]
FeOEP	Ni, Co/Cu(100)	XMCD	FM	[29]
FeOEP	O/Ni, O/Co/Cu(100)	XMCD	AFM	[30]
CoOEP	graphene/Ni/W(110)	XMCD	AFM	[31]
Cu-tetraazaporphyrin	$Fe_3O_4/MgO(100)$	XMCD	AFM	[32]
TbPc ₂	Ni/Cu(100), Ni/Ag(100)	XMCD	AFM	This work and [15]
TbPc ₂	O, Li Ni/Cu(100)	XMCD	AFM	This work and [15]
TbPc ₂	Co/Ir(111)	SPSTM		[33]
TbPc ₂	Co/Cu(100)	XMCD	AFM	[34]
TbPc ₂	La _{0.3} Sr _{0.7} Mn ₀₃	XMCD	NC	[35]
Tb ₂ Pc ₃	Ni/Cu(100)	XMCD	AFM	This work
Antiferromagnetic substrates				
MnPc	CoO/Ag(100)	XMCD	NC	This work
TbPc ₂	CoO/Ag(100)	XMCD	NC	This work and [16]
TbPc ₂	Mn/Ag(100)	XMCD	FM	This work and [16]

^a Spin-polarized metastable deexcitation spectroscopy.

^b X-ray magnetic circular dichroism.

^c Spin-polarized ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy.

^d Spin-polarized scanning tunneling microscopy.



Fig. 1. Structure of (a) single, (b) double, and (c) triple-decker metal-phthalocyanines.

adsorption on different substrates by STM and X-ray linear dichroism. The magnetic behavior of MnPc, TbPc₂, and Tb₂Pc₃ on Ni films is reported in Sections 4, 5, and 6, respectively. Finally, Section 7 describes molecular exchange bias on AFM surfaces and Section 8 summarizes the results.

2. Experimental

The experiments reported in this work are based on XMCD measurements of the molecule and substrate magnetization. Because of its element-resolving power [1] and sensitivity to low concentrations of magnetic atoms [50,51], XMCD is ideally suited to study molecular systems on surfaces. The measurements were performed at beamline ID08 of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF). A scheme of the experimental setup, which includes a liquid He cryostat with high field magnet for XMCD measurements and a dedicated preparation chamber is shown in Fig. 2. The samples were prepared in-situ by molecular beam evaporation of MnPc, TbPc₂, and Tb₂Pc₃ on magnetic thin films deposited onto single-crystal Ag(100) and Cu(100) substrates. The MnPc molecules were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich with 99.9% purity. The terbium bis-(phthalocyanine) and homoleptic, homodinuclear tris(phthalocyaninato) complexes were synthesized according to modified templating reactions of the phthalonitrile precursor with terbium acetylacetonate, as described in Refs. [52,53].

The whole sample preparation procedure was carried out in UHV with a base pressure of 2×10^{-10} mbar. The molecules were degassed in UHV for at least 24 h prior to evaporation and the metal substrates cleaned by repeated Ar sputtering cycles and annealed to 500 °C. FM films with inplane magnetic anisotropy were obtained by depositing Ni on Ag(100) [15], whereas films with out-of-plane anisotropy were obtained by depositing Ni layers thicker than 10 ML (monolayer) on Cu(100) [54,55]. AFM CoO layers of thickness ranging from 3 to 15 ML were grown by evaporating Co in a pure oxygen atmosphere of 10^{-7} mbar on Ag(100) [56], whereas AFM Mn layers were obtained by direct deposition on Ag(100) at room temperature [16]. STM was used to calibrate the coverage of the magnetic thin films and molecules as well as to provide indications on the morphology of the molecule/metal layers. After preparation, the samples were transferred to the cryostat chamber for X-ray measurements without breaking vacuum.

The X-ray absorption measurements were carried out by measuring the total electron yield (TEY) of the sample during scans of the photon energy over the $L_{2,3}$ absorption edges of Mn, Co, and Ni, the $M_{4,5}$ absorption edges of Tb, and the *K* absorption edge of N. The photoelectron current of the sample was normalized by the incoming photon flux



Fig. 2. Schematics of the experimental setup and sample geometry for X-ray linear and circular dichroism measurements on beamline ID08 (now ID32) of the ESRF.

measured by the TEY of a thin Au mesh placed upstream from the cryostat (I_0 in Fig. 2). A magnetic field **B** of up to ± 5 T was applied parallel to the X-ray incidence direction at an angle θ with respect to the sample normal and used for the XMCD measurements as well as for field cooling of the samples. XMCD spectra were obtained by subtracting consecutive X-ray absorption spectra (XAS) recorded for parallel (I^+) and antiparallel (I^-) alignment of the photon helicity and sample magnetization.

Element-resolved magnetization curves were measured by XMCD in two different ways. The first method consisted in performing two (forward and backward) sweeps of the applied magnetic field, one for positive and the other for negative circularly polarized light. During the first sweep, only the pre-edge and the maximum intensity I^+ at either the L_3 or M_5 edge were measured, thus optimizing the acquisition time for the energy point where the XMCD is maximum. The peak intensity values were divided by the pre-edge intensity at each field in order to eliminate the dependence of the TEY on the sample orientation and magnetic field. Then a second sweep was performed to measure I^- . Finally, the normalized intensity from the first loop was subtracted from the second in order to obtain the XMCD loop. This is a well-established method to measure magnetization curves using XMCD [57-59], which gives better results than just plotting the peak I^{\pm} intensity as a function of field as done for relatively thick metal films [60]. The second method consisted in measuring complete XMCD spectra at each value of the magnetic field, averaging up to 16 XMCD spectra per point, and plotting the maximum XMCD intensity at either the L_3 or M_5 edge normalized by the average intensity $(I^+ + I^-)/2$ at each point. This method is much more time consuming compared to the first, but gives a self-consistent magnetization measurement at each point without the need of subtracting consecutive loops taken with opposite photon polarization. It is thus immune to the drift of the photon energy, which sometimes affects fixed-energy measurements of the XMCD intensity, as well as to training effects of the magnetization, which can take place in AFM films. For reasons of speed, the first method was preferred for MnPc/Ni, MnPc/CoO, TbPc₂/Ni, and Tb₂Pc₃/Ni. Because of its accuracy and the need to accurately measure small vertical shifts due to exchange bias, the second method was preferred for Tb₂Pc₃/CoO and Tb₂Pc₃/Mn. Finally, we note that throughout this work the XMCD intensity is presented in units of the average XAS intensity, $(I^+ + I^-)/2$, which makes it proportional to the atomic magnetic moment of the element under investigation and makes it independent of geometry- and field-induced effects on the TEY.

3. Molecule adsorption on FM and AFM films

Fig. 3 shows four STM images corresponding to (a) MnPc deposited on Ag(100), (b) TbPc₂ on 13 ML Ni/Cu(100), (c) TbPc₂ on 3 ML CoO/Ag(100) (inset), and (d) TbPc₂ on 3 ML Mn/Ag(100). We observe that MnPc and TbPc₂ adsorb flat on metal as well as on metal-oxide surfaces, in agreement with previous studies on related systems [61,62]. The FM and AFM films have an rms roughness smaller than 1.8 Å and present an islandlike morphology [56,63]. Although, depending on the coverage, the lateral dimensions of the metal islands can be as small as 10 nm, we find that most molecules adsorb on flat sites, either in the middle of a terrace or near a step edge. The orientation of the molecules is confirmed by the strong natural dichroism measured by linearly polarized X-ray absorption. Fig. 4(a) and (b) shows the X-ray absorption spectra of TbPc₂ on the Ni and $O(2 \times 2)/Ni$ surfaces measured at the N K-edge with linearly polarized light parallel to the surface plane or tilted 70° out-of-plane. Similar to Pc, the low energy features between 395 and 405 eV are assigned to $1s \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions, whereas features above 405 eV are assigned to $1s \rightarrow \sigma^*$ transitions [64]. As the dipole selection rules allow transitions only for the component of electric field vector (E) parallel to the orientation of the 2*p*-like nitrogen orbitals, and the π^* orbitals are perpendicular to the Pc plane, the larger intensity of the $1s \rightarrow \pi^*$ resonances for out-of-plane **E** indicates that most of the TbPc₂



Fig. 3. STM images of (a) 1 ML MnPc on Ag(100). Image size: $75 \times 75 \text{ nm}^2$. (b) 0.05 ML TbPc₂ on 13 ML Ni/Cu(100). Image size: $65 \times 65 \text{ nm}^2$. (c) 3 ML CoO/Ag(100). Image size: $150 \times 150 \text{ nm}^2$. Inset: TbPc₂ on 3 ML CoO/Ag(100), $25 \times 25 \text{ nm}^2$. (d) TbPc₂ on 3 ML Mn/Ag(100). Image size: $50 \times 50 \text{ nm}^2$. All images have been recorded at room temperature using the setup shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 4. Linearly polarized X-ray absorption spectra of (a) TbPc₂/Ni/Cu(100) and (b) TbPc₂ deposited on $c(2 \times 2)$ O/Ni/Cu(100) recorded at the Nitrogen *K*-edge with E parallel to the surface plane (red dashed line) and 70 ° out-of-plane (blue solid line). The X-ray incidence angle is $\theta = 70$ ° in both cases. Inset: STM image of TbPc₂/c(2 × 2) O/Ni/Cu(100), image size 5 × 5 nm². (c) Linearly polarized X-ray absorption spectra of TbPc₂/Ni/Cu(100) and (d) Tb₂Pc₃/Ni/Cu(100) recorded at the Tb M_5 edge.

molecules lie flat on the surface. We note that in this case strong linear dichroism effects are expected also at the M_5 edge of Tb [46,65], as observed in Fig. 4(c) and (d).

X-ray linear dichroism spectra show that also the Tb_2Pc_3 molecules adsorb with the Pc planes parallel to the Ni substrate [Fig. 4(d)]. As most polynuclear compounds have a limited thermal stability, we



Fig. 5. (a) STM image of a single Tb_2Pc_3 molecule adsorbed on Ag(100). Image size 5×5 nm². (b) Line profile along the cut shown in (a). (c) and (d) Tb_2Pc_3 molecules adsorbed on 12 ML Ni/Ag(100). Image size 40.5×40.5 nm² (c) and 81×81 nm² (d). All images recorded at 4.8 K in a cryogenic STM.



Fig. 6. XAS and XMCD spectra of 0.9 ML MnPc/15 ML Ni/Ag(100) recorded at T = 8 K. Ni spectra measured in remanence at (a) $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and (b) $\theta = 70^{\circ}$. Mn spectra measured in remanence (solid lines) and with an applied field of 1.1 T (dashed lines) at (c) $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and (d) $\theta = 70^{\circ}$. Element-resolved magnetization loops of Ni (blue) and Mn (green) measured at (e) $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and (f) $\theta = 70^{\circ}$. The magnetization curves were normalized to the saturation value to compare the shape of the Mn and Ni loops.

checked that the molecules remain intact after evaporation. Fig. 5(a) shows an STM image of Tb_2Pc_3 adsorbed on Ag(100), following sublimation from a crucible heated to 500 °C on the Ag substrate held at room temperature. The line profile reported in (b) shows that the apparent height of Tb_2Pc_3 measured by STM is significantly larger than that of $TbPc_2$ and TbPc [66]. This, together with the absence of Pc fragments, indicates that the triple-decker Pc complexes remain intact when evaporated on metals. As noted for $TbPc_2$, we find that also Tb_2Pc_3 on Ni adsorbs on planar sites with a preference for island corners, as seen in Fig. 5(c) and (d). Note that the images of Fig. 5 have been recorded with a cryogenic STM in a quiet environment, whereas those in Fig. 3 have been recorded using the beamline STM shown in Fig. 2, prior to transferring the sample into the XMCD chamber.

4. Single-decker molecules on ferromagnets: MnPc/Ni

The interaction of Pc, OEP, and TTP molecules with FM substrates has been intensively investigated to induce FM behavior in otherwise paramagnetic complexes (see Table 1). MnPc represents an interesting system in this respect, since the Mn ions carry a large magnetic moment in the pristine complexes [67], which is predicted also to have significant anisotropy [68]. Moreover, density functional calculations and photoemission measurements of MnPc on Co have shown that the electronic states that form at the molecule–metal interface close to the Fermi level are highly spin-polarized [69], which makes MnPc/FM layers of interest as spin filters. The interaction between MnPc and either Co or Fe surfaces has been shown to be FM [17–19]. Here we analyze the case of MnPc/Ni.

Fig. 6 shows the XAS and XMCD spectra of a 0.9 ML MnPc/15 ML Ni film deposited on Ag(100) measured at a temperature of 8 K. The Ni spectra measured in remanence at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 70^{\circ}$ indicate that the Ni film is magnetized in-plane. Both the XAS and XMCD spectra of Mn, measured in a field of 1.1 T, present a rich multiplet structure with strongly anisotropic line shape. Such spectra are a fingerprint of the ground state of the Mn²⁺ ions, which, in analogy with MnPc/ Ag(100) [70], we assign to a B_{1g} state with orbital occupation $(b_{2g})^1$ $(e_g)^2(a_{1g})^2$ and intermediate spin S = 3/2. The fact that the XMCD/ XAS intensity ratio is quite small for this field and temperature, suggests that charge transfer and/or screening effects induced by the substrate significantly reduce the effective Mn magnetic moment compared to that expected of an isolated molecule.

The XMCD magnetization curves reported in Fig. 6(e, f) recorded at the Ni and Mn L_3 edges at normal and grazing incidence reveal that the Mn magnetic moment is strongly FM-coupled to Ni. The sign of the exchange interaction is the same as that calculated for Mn impurities in a FM Ni matrix [71], which can be attributed to the direct exchange interaction between the Mn and Ni 3*d* states, similarly to MnPc/Co [18] and MnPc/Fe [72]. As observed for all planar complexes deposited on FM metal surfaces (Table 1), the MnPc magnetic moment follows at all fields the magnetization of Ni, adopting the magnetic anisotropy and coercivity of the FM substrate. Under most practical aspects, this means that the molecule and substrate form a single magnetic entity, with a stable molecular magnetic moment but no possibility to independently control the magnetization of one layer with respect to the other.



Fig. 7. Element-resolved hysteresis loops of Tb (top panel) and Ni (bottom panel) for TbPc₂ on a 13 ML Ni film with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy, measured at T = 8 K at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$. The magnetic field is applied perpendicular to the surface. The units of \mathbf{M}_{Ni} and \mathbf{M}_{Tb} correspond to the XMCD/XAS ratio at the L_3 and M_5 absorption edges. The solid line superposed to \mathbf{M}_{Tb} is a fit according to Eq. (1). The dashed line in the bottom panel shows the Tb magnetization at low field normalized to \mathbf{M}_{Ni} .



Fig. 8. Element-resolved hysteresis loops of Tb (top panel) and Ni (bottom panel) for TbPc₂ on a 6 ML Ni film with in-plane magnetic anisotropy, measured at T = 8 K at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$. The magnetic field is applied perpendicular to the surface. Adapted from Ref. [15].

5. Double-decker molecules on ferromagnets: TbPc₂/Ni

TbPc₂ is the most representative and studied molecule of the bis(phthalocyaninato) lanthanide family and a prototype single-ion SMM [44,73]. The core of the molecule is a Tb^{3+} ion sandwiched between two Pc ligands in an eightfold coordinated square antiprism ("double-decker") configuration [Fig. 1(b)]. The Tb ion has a $4f^8$ electronic configuration with spin and orbital moments equal to S = 3and L = 3, respectively. The strong spin-orbit coupling of the 4f electrons leads to a ground state term with total angular momentum J = 6, which is further split by the uniaxial ligand field due to the Pc ligands into widely spaced I_z substates. The lowest doublet with $I_z = \pm$ 6 is split by about 54 meV from the $I_z = \pm 5$ substates [74], yielding a very large uniaxial magnetic anisotropy and small transition probability between the lowest substates. As a result, TbPc₂ shows magnetization hysteresis at low temperature [73]. AC magnetic susceptibility measurements of TbPc₂ diluted in a diamagnetic matrix yield magnetic relaxation times of the order of 0.16 ms at 40 K and 1.6 s at 8 K [75], whereas XMCD measurements of TbPc2 adsorbed on conducting substrates show magnetic hysteresis only below about 7 K [46,47,76].

Increasing the stability of the molecular magnetic moment is thus a challenge for SMM as well as for simpler paramagnetic molecules. In principle, the same strategy of depositing the molecules onto FM substrates can be applied to SMM, as exemplified in the previous section. SMM, however, including TbPc₂, have a larger and more complex structure compared to the planar phthalocyanine and porphyrin derivatives. Moreover, the magnetic ions are separated from the FM substrate by one or more organic ligands. This makes coupling more challenging, but at the same time more intriguing, especially in molecules that present an unpaired π electron delocalized over the organic ligands, as is the case for neutral TbPc₂ [77].

In this section we analyze the coupling of TbPc_2 to Ni films that have either out-of-plane or in-plane magnetic anisotropy. In the first case, the molecule and substrate easy axes are parallel (Fig. 7), whereas in the second case they are perpendicular to each other (Fig. 8).

5.1. TbPc₂ on Ni films with out-of-plane magnetization

Fig. 7 shows the magnetization of Tb (\mathbf{M}_{Tb} , red curve) and Ni (\mathbf{M}_{Ni} , blue curve) for 0.05 \pm 0.02 ML TbPc₂ on 13 ML Ni/Cu(100) measured at 8 K. We observe several distinctive features compared to single-decker molecules. First, \mathbf{M}_{Tb} is coupled antiparallel to \mathbf{M}_{Ni} at remanence. Second, \mathbf{M}_{Tb} closely follows \mathbf{M}_{Ni} only for |B| < 0.1 T. At higher fields, \mathbf{M}_{Tb} rotates away from \mathbf{M}_{Ni} and tends to align parallel to **B**. This occurs as the Zeeman energy gradually increases and finally overcomes the AFM exchange coupling to the substrate, leading to a configuration where \mathbf{M}_{Tb} and \mathbf{M}_{Ni} are parallel. Note also that \mathbf{M}_{Tb} presents a square hysteresis rather than the butterfly loop with nearzero remanence typical of TbPc₂ in bulk crystals and nonmagnetic substrates [47,76,78].

The sign of the coupling is opposite to that of MnPc/Ni and singledecker phthalocyanine and porphyrin complexes adsorbed on bare FM surfaces, as shown in Table 1. This is attributed to a Pc-mediated superexchange mechanism, which most likely involves the four N atoms situated between Tb and the surface. As the Tb ion does not bind to the substrate, direct exchange coupling between Tb and Ni is excluded. Moreover, contrary to MnPc, TbPc₂ retains its intrinsic axial magnetic anisotropy, which strongly favors the out-of-plane direction of the Tb moment [15]. The competition between the intrinsic SMM properties of TbPc₂ and AFM exchange to the substrate can be described using the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = \mu_{\mathsf{B}}(\mathsf{L} + 2\mathsf{S}) \cdot \mathsf{B} - \lambda \mathsf{L} \cdot \mathsf{S} + V_{CF} + \mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{N}\mathsf{i}} \cdot \mathsf{K} \cdot \mathsf{S}$$
(1)

where μ_B is the Bohr magneton, $\lambda = 212$ meV the spin-orbit coupling constant, and $V_{CF} = -B_2 O_2^0 - B_4 O_4^0 - B_6 O_6^0$ the crystal field potential of the 4f states expressed in terms of the Stevens operators O_k^m . Since V_{CF} is not affected by deposition on metals [46], we use the same coefficients $B_2 = 414$, $B_4 = -228$, and $B_6 = 33$ cm⁻¹ as for the unperturbed molecule [74]. K represents the superexchange tensor between Ni and Tb, of which we consider only the diagonal out-of-plane K^{\perp} and inplane K^{\parallel} components. Eq. (1) is used to calculate the expectation value of $\mathbf{M}_{\text{Tb}} = -\mu_{B}(\langle \mathbf{L} \rangle + 2 \langle \mathbf{S} \rangle)$ as a function of applied field and temperature and fit the magnetization curve in Fig. 7. For simplicity, the fit is restricted to the bottom J = L + S = 6 multiplet of TbPc₂ [74] and we take $\hat{M}_{Ni} = \pm 1$ for $B \ge 0$. The fit has three free parameters: K^{\perp} , K^{\parallel} , and a multiplicative factor that scales M_{Tb} to the XMCD intensity. Such parameters reduce to two when $\theta = 0^{\circ}$, as K^{\parallel} plays no role in this case. The fit, shown as a solid green line in Fig. 7, reproduces remarkably well the magnetic behavior of TbPc₂, demonstrating that this model captures the main features of the interaction between SMM and the substrate. We obtain $K^{\perp} = -0.67 \pm 0.01$ meV. Fitting of the hard axis magnetization (not shown, see Ref. [15]) gives $K^{\parallel} = -14.4 \pm 0.3$ meV. Such strong superexchange anisotropy is not unusual for rare earth ions with unquenched L since the spin-orbit interaction is significant compared to V_{CF} [79]. In such a case, a rotation of the 4f spin implies also a rotation of the electrons' orbit, thereby changing the electronic overlap with neighboring orbitals that is at the origin of superexchange. Anisotropic coupling, moreover, may be a general feature of hybrid metal-organic interfaces, as it has been reported recently also for Cu porphyrin molecules adsorbed on magnetite [32].

5.2. TbPc₂ on Ni films with in-plane magnetization

The description of the Tb–Ni coupling is more complicated when the substrate has in-plane magnetic anisotropy. Fig. 8 shows M_{Tb} (red curve) and M_{Ni} (blue curve) for 0.05 ML TbPc₂ on 6 ML Ni/Ag(100). The curves are measured at 8 K with the external field applied out-of-plane, parallel to the Tb easy axis. We observe that the coupling between TbPc₂ and Ni remains AFM, as expected since the chemical nature of the molecule–metal interface does not change. However, the magnetic behavior of Tb is deeply affected by the magnetic anisotropy of the

substrate. When the molecule and substrate anisotropy axes are perpendicular to each other, the system is magnetically frustrated. The Tb axial anisotropy is so strong that only the up and down directions are energetically favored, similar to an Ising system. Therefore, when the substrate is magnetized in-plane, the exchange field mixes in equal amount the up and down Tb states, leading to zero remanence. In principle, such a behavior can be also modeled by Eq. (1), but for the fact that the experimental \mathbf{M}_{Tb} continues to increase even after \mathbf{M}_{Ni} has saturated, whereas \mathbf{M}_{Tb} calculated using Eq. (1) would also saturate. This discrepancy is still an open issue, but may be ultimately related to local changes of the Ni magnetic anisotropy induced by the adsorption of TbPc₂ that cannot be probed by a spatial averaging technique such as XMCD. In any case, the behavior of \mathbf{M}_{Tb} up to $B = \pm 0.7$ T can be

qualitatively explained by considering that, as long as the out-of-plane component of \mathbf{M}_{Ni} increases linearly with field, the out-of-plane AFM exchange term $K^{\perp}\hat{M}_{\text{Ni}}$ approximately compensates the Zeeman energy $\mu_{\text{B}}(\mathbf{L} + 2\mathbf{S})B$, leading to $M_{\text{Tb}} \approx 0$.

Our results show that the substrate and molecule magnetic anisotropy axes must be parallel in order to induce magnetic remanence in the SMM. When this is the case, the stability of the Tb magnetic moment is greatly enhanced compared to TbPc₂ in bulk specimens as well as on nonmagnetic substrates [46,47,76]. At temperatures above a few degrees K and timescales larger than a few s, thermal fluctuations and resonant quantum tunneling between the lowest $J_z = \pm 6$ states and between hyperfine levels [78] lead to vanishing remanence for TbPc₂. Here, the timescale of the XMCD measurements is of the order 10³ s,



Fig. 9. a) Schematic of the Ni surface employed in the calculations of the dipolar field B_{dip} emanating from the substrate. Dark blue areas indicate second layer Ni islands, blue dots the position of Ni atoms. The red line is placed at a distance of 4.45 Å from the topmost Ni plane. (b) B_{dip} as a function of *x* calculated along the red line shown in (a) for Ni magnetized out-of-plane. (c) B_{dip} as a function of *x* calculated along the red line shown in (a) for Ni magnetized in-plane.

i.e., the time required to record a hysteresis loop. At 8 K, we find that the value of $M_{\rm Tb}$ at remanence is about 80% of that at 5 T (Fig. 7), in agreement with the thermally averaged moment expected from Eq. (1). At 80 K the remanence has reduced to about 10% of the saturation magnetization, while a finite XMCD intensity is observed up to 100 K [15]. The thermal stability of TbPc₂ can be increased even further, in principle, on substrates with stronger exchange coupling, such as Co and Fe films with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy.

5.3. Magnitude of dipolar field from the substrate

Besides modeling the exchange field induced by the substrate, we studied the influence of the dipolar field emanating from the Ni surface atoms on the Tb magnetic moment. Although the dipolar field produced by a continuous magnetization density with either out-of-plane or inplane orientation is zero outside an infinite surface plane, the discrete atomic structure of a real surface gives rise to finite dipolar fields close to the surface [80]. In order to address this point, we have carried out a model calculation of the dipolar field produced by a (100) Ni surface layer made of 2100 by 2100 atoms. The effects of roughness are included by simulating second layer islands of 10 to 20 nm lateral size. A diagram of the simulated Ni surface is shown in Fig. 9(a). A TbPc₂ molecule is shown to scale with the Ni lattice constant. The distance between the Pc ligand and the topmost Ni atoms is taken to be 2.5 Å from density functional calculations of MPc adsorbed on metal surfaces [38], whereas the distance between the bottom and top Pc ligand is taken from Ref. [76]. The number of atoms in the simulation is such that the results can be considered accurate: for instance, adding an additional bottom layer would not change significantly the value of the dipolar field on the surface, due to the exponential decrease of the field with z. The calculations were done by adding dipolar fields from individual dipoles, giving a resultant field $\mathbf{B}_{dip} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}$ $\sum_{i} (3(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i})\mathbf{r}_{i}/r_{i}^{5} - \mathbf{m}/r_{i}^{3})$, where \mathbf{r}_{i} is the position of the *i*-th atom, and **m** its magnetic moment. For the calculation, we take $m = 1 \ \mu_{\rm B}$ to provide a "worst case" estimate of B_{dip} , given that the magnetic moment of Ni surface atoms is about 0.7 μ_B . The simulations were performed for Ni layers with out-of-plane magnetization and Cu(100) lattice spacing [Fig. 9(b)] as well as for Ni layers with in-plane magnetization and Ag(100) lattice spacing [Fig. 9(c)]. We find that B_{dip} decreases exponentially with increasing distance from a homogenous Ni layer, reaching values below 0.01 T for z > 2 Å (not shown). Oscillations of B_{dip} moving in the xy plane from on-top to hollow sites are already very small at this distance. Fig. 9(b) and (c) shows B_{dip} calculated at z = 4.45 Å above the Ni surface plane, along the red line shown in Fig. 9(a). The effects of roughness are most visible at the edges of the islands, where B_{div} attains



Fig. 10. Element-resolved hysteresis loops of Tb (top) and Ni (bottom) for (a) TbPc₂/O/Ni/Cu(100) and (b) Li-doped TbPc₂/Ni/Cu(100) measured at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ at T = 8 K. The units of \mathbf{M}_{Ni} and \mathbf{M}_{Tb} correspond to the XMCD/XAS ratio at the L_3 and M_5 absorption edges, respectively. The solid lines superposed to \mathbf{M}_{Tb} are fits according to Eq. (1). Adapted from Ref. [15].

a maximum value of about 0.07 T on both surfaces. This is still very small compared to the exchange fields evidenced by our study. Moreover, B_{dip} changes sign for molecules on top or between islands, resulting in an average field below 0.002 T. Since the XMCD measurements are sensitive to macroscopic surface areas of the order of $0.1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^2$, we conclude that dipolar fields can have only a very small influence on the measured magnetic properties of TbPc₂ on Ni.

5.4. TbPc₂ on Li- and O-modified Ni films

As the coupling between \mathbf{M}_{Tb} and \mathbf{M}_{Ni} is likely mediated by the π orbitals of the Pc ligands, it may be possible to increase or decrease the Tb–Ni exchange field by modifying the amount of charge transferred between the surface and TbPc₂. This can be realized in practice by i) preparing a (2 × 2) O buffer layer [63] between TbPc₂ and Ni, and ii) doping the Ni surface with a strong electron donor such as Li. Although we do not control the extent of charge transfer in either case, one can assume that i) leads to oxidation and ii) to a reduction of the Pc ligand. We find that charge depletion induced by O at the TbPc₂/Ni interface leads to a reduction of the exchange field, whereas charge donation induced by Li leads to an increase of the exchange field.

The effects of charge transfer on the Ni magnetization are limited to changes of the coercivity, which we ascribe to modifications of the surface magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy. The magnetic behavior of TbPc₂, on the other hand, changes significantly. Fig. 10(a) shows \mathbf{M}_{Tb} (top) and \mathbf{M}_{Ni} (bottom) of TbPc₂ adsorbed on a (2 × 2) O layer prepared on 9 ML Ni/Cu(100). The coupling of Tb to Ni is substantially reduced compared to TbPc₂/Ni/Cu(100). The Tb remanence is now only 22% of M_{Tb} at 5 T and the field at which M_{Tb} changes sign as the external field exactly compensates the exchange coupling to the substrate, $B = B_{exc}$, decreases from 1.28 T on bare Ni to 0.62 T. A fit of **M**_{Tb} according to Eq. (1) reproduces this behavior, giving $K^{\perp} =$ -0.33 ± 0.01 meV and $K^{\parallel} = 2 \pm 2$ meV. The reduction of the absolute value of the exchange energy when O is intercalated between TbPc2 and Ni is not unexpected, as it is observed also for planar molecules, e.g., for FeOEP on O/Ni relative to bare Ni [30]. However, in all single phthalocyanine and porphyrin systems investigated to date, O intercalation leads to a change of sign of the coupling, from FM to AFM [19,22,26,30]. For TbPc₂, instead, we find that the out-of-plane coupling remains AFM, whereas the in-plane coupling turns positive but with a large error bar. Competing FM and AFM interactions have been reported for Cu porphyrin molecules adsorbed on magnetite [32]. Here, because of the very large axial anisotropy of \mathbf{M}_{Tb} , the magnetic behavior of TbPc₂ is mostly sensitive to K^{\perp} rather than K^{\parallel} .

Fig. 10(b) shows \mathbf{M}_{Tb} (top) and \mathbf{M}_{Ni} (bottom) of TbPc₂ adsorbed on 13 ML Ni/Cu(100), after depositing Li from a getter source at 8 K. The amount of Li is not known precisely, but enough to entirely cover the surface. Opposite to the previous case, we observe that the Tb remanence is now enhanced, reaching 142% of \mathbf{M}_{Tb} at 5 T and that B_{exc} has increased up to 2.55 T. The fit of \mathbf{M}_{Tb} gives $K^{\perp} = -1.37 \pm 0.02$ meV and $K^{\parallel} = -32.8 \pm 0.7$ meV. We thus find that the exchange coupling field doubles with respect to bare Ni and quadruples with respect to O/Ni, reinforcing the AFM alignment between Tb and Ni. The origin of this effect cannot be explained in detail as neither the charge of $TbPc_2$ on Ni is known nor the position of the Li dopants. However, we can speculate that adding electrons to the aromatic Pc ligands leads to a more efficient superexchange process. The neutral unsupported [TbPc₂]⁰ molecule has two spin systems: one strongly localized on the 4*f* states, with J = 6, and the other delocalized over the two Pc ligands due to an unpaired π electron with spin S = 1/2 [77]. Electron doping of MPc by alkali metals has been shown to induce site-selective filling of molecular orbitals, including the aromatic π -states delocalized over the Pc ligand [81], as well as changes of the spin of the metal centers and ligand field [70]. For YPc₂ adsorbed on Au(111) it has been found that Cs doping leads to the filling of a π orbital of the Pc ligand [82,83]. Because Ni is a more reactive substrate than Au, we expect the lowest



Fig. 11. Element-resolved hysteresis loops of Tb (top) and Ni (bottom) for Tb₂Pc₃/13 ML Ni/Cu(100) measured at (a) $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and (b) $\theta = 60^{\circ}$ at T = 8 K. The units of \mathbf{M}_{Ni} and \mathbf{M}_{Tb} correspond to the XMCD/XAS ratio at the L_3 and M_5 absorption edges, respectively. The dashed line in the bottom panel of (a) shows \mathbf{M}_{Tb} at low field normalized to \mathbf{M}_{Ni} .

unoccupied π orbital of TbPc₂ to be partially filled on bare Ni, almost unfilled on the O-covered surface, and completely filled for the Lidoped complexes. Since superexchange tends to favor FM coupling between two magnetic atoms if the intervening negative ion has a singly occupied orbital and AFM coupling for a doubly occupied one, this hypothesis would explain why AFM coupling is so much weaker for TbPc₂/(2 × 2) O/Ni compared to Li/TbPc₂/Ni. More precise knowledge on the charging of the Pc orbitals and their energy position relative to the Ni and Tb states, however, is required to reach a definitive conclusion on this point.

6. Triple-decker molecules on ferromagnets: Tb₂Pc₃/Ni

Pc and porphyrin derivatives with double- or triple-decker structure have been investigated for a long time due to their intrinsic semiconducting properties [84], multiple redox activity [85], and SMM behavior [86,87], which are of interest to realize field-effect transistors [88,89] and model information storage media [90]. In the triple-decker complexes, the lanthanide ions are placed along the fourfold symmetry axis at a distance of about 3.6 Å [Fig. 1(c)]. The presence of two 4f systems, each behaving as a SMM [86,87], and of delocalized π electrons provides the opportunity to study coupling effects with magnetic interfaces as well as at the intramolecular level. In the pristine compounds, the interaction between the lanthanide magnetic moments was determined to be of dipolar character, with negligible contribution from exchange [86,91]. This interaction, which favors the parallel alignment of the magnetic moments along the easy axis, becomes apparent in magnetic susceptibility measurements of Tb₂Pc₃ at temperatures below 10 K [91].

In order to study the magnetic behavior of Tb_2Pc_3 on FM substrates, we prepared Ni films with out-of-plane magnetization on Cu(100), analogously to the study of $TbPc_2$ reported in Section 5. Fig. 11 shows

the element-resolved magnetization of Tb₂Pc₃/13 ML Ni/Cu(100) measured by XMCD at T = 8 K. Here, \mathbf{M}_{Tb} represents the Tb magnetic moment averaged over the top and bottom Tb ions. We observe that \mathbf{M}_{Tb} is coupled antiparallel to \mathbf{M}_{Ni} at remanence, and it rotates parallel to the external field when $|B| > B_{exc} = 0.3$ T. The magnetic moments of Tb and Ni at saturation, estimated by the XMCD asymmetry $(I^+ - I^-) / I^ [(I^+ + I^-)/2]$, are consistent with those measured in the doubledecker samples. This behavior is gualitatively similar to that of TbPc₂/ Ni/Cu(100) in Fig. 7. However, the remanence value of M_{Tb} is only 20% of the saturation magnetic moment compared to 80%, and B_{exc} is about one fourth of that measured for TbPc₂. We therefore conclude that only the bottom Tb ion is AFM coupled to Ni, whereas the top Tb ion is essentially unperturbed by the FM substrate. The reduced remanence is consistent with the observation that the two Tb ions in Tb_2Pc_3 relax independently of each other [86]. The small value of B_{exc} compared to $TbPc_2$ is consistent with \mathbf{M}_{Tb} being the average of two curves, one with $B_{exc} = 0$ and the other with $B_{exc} \neq 0$. Moreover, the dipolar field produced by one Tb ion on the other reduces B_{exc} even further, as the top magnetic moment tends to pull the bottom one towards the direction of the external field. Based on their distance, we estimate that the dipolar field is of the order of 0.25 T (0.12 T) at the position of atom A when the magnetic moment of atom B points outof-plane (in-plane). These results show that the length scale of exchange coupling induced by a FM substrate is very small and that, in polynuclear SMM, fluctuations of the ionic spins not coupled to the FM may reduce the overall stability of the molecular magnetic moment.

7. Exchange bias on antiferromagnetic substrates

Most studies of molecules on magnetic substrates have been carried out on FM surfaces. In such a case, the magnetization of the substrate is orders of magnitude larger compared to that of a molecular layer. Moreover, for planar molecules, the magnetic moment is rigidly coupled to the substrate magnetization. It is therefore impossible to manipulate the molecule magnetic moment independently from the substrate. One may wonder if there are alternative ways to stabilize and control the magnetic behavior of paramagnetic molecules and SMM using substrates with no net magnetization. This may be achieved, in principle, by coupling the molecules to an AFM surface, in analogy with exchange-biased FM/AFM thin films [11]. There are, however, several issues that make this goal a difficult one. 1) Exchange bias is triggered locally by the presence of sparse pinned uncompensated spins in the AFM [92–94]; in FM/AFM films the bias extends to the whole FM layer because the system is structurally and magnetically continuous. Molecules, on the other hand, are discrete magnetic elements. There is no mechanism guaranteeing that a single molecule will be exchange-biased, unless this adsorbs on or creates a pinning site. 2) Even if this occurs, exchange bias is unlikely to extend to all the molecules on the surface unless some kind of intramolecular coupling exists, which is usually not the case [95]. 3) Finally, exchange bias requires the polarization of the pinned uncompensated spins of the AFM during field cooling (FC), which is usually achieved due to the proximity of the FM layer. As thermal fluctuations tend to randomize the orientation of the molecular magnetic moment at temperatures above a few degrees K, well below the typical ordering temperature of AFM layers, the pinned spins in the AFM may not align along the FC direction. These three problems need to be addressed in order to establish if and how single molecules and molecular layers can be exchanged biased by an AFM substrate.

Here we report a series of experiments aimed at establishing the presence or absence of molecular exchange bias at the interface between metal-organic complexes and different types of AFM substrates, namely insulating CoO and metallic Mn thin films deposited on Ag(100) [16]. We chose CoO and Mn to compare the behavior of oxide and metal AFM. CoO is a model type II insulating AFM that grows epitaxially on Ag(100). It has a large magnetocrystalline anisotropy, which favors large exchange bias (H_E) and coercive fields (H_C) in FM/AFM bilayers. Moreover, both the Néel temperature (T_N) and the magnetic anisotropy of CoO thin films can be controlled by epitaxy [96,97], which makes this system particularly interesting for the investigation of molecular exchange bias phenomena. Elemental Mn thin films grown on Ag(100) [98–100] were preferred over other types of metallic AFM, such as NiMn and IrMn, in order to simplify the sample preparation procedure and avoid the presence of different structural and magnetic phases that appear in bimetallic AFM alloys as a function of composition and thickness [101]. Mn layers grow epitaxially on single crystal Ag(100) forming a two-layer thick superficial alloy at room temperature, which is continued by an almost pure Mn phase with bct structure above the third layer [100,102]. It is known that Mn thin films grown on Ag(100) present large local magnetic moments and AFM order [99,103,104], with predicted $c(2 \times 2)$ magnetic unit cell [104.105].



Fig. 12. XAS and XMCD spectra of TbPc₂/CoO(10 ML)/Ag recorded at the $L_{2,3}$ Co (a) and $M_{4,5}$ Tb (b) edges after FC at B = 5 T, $\theta = 0^{\circ}$. (c) Magnetization loop of TbPc₂ deposited on the 10 ML CoO film after FC, recorded at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$. (d) Magnetization loop of TbPc₂ deposited on a 3 ML CoO film after FC at $\theta = 45^{\circ}$, measured at the same angle. The arrows indicate inflection points during a field sweep. (e) Magnetization loop of the sample shown in (d) after Li deposition. Insets: Details of the low field region. Units refer to the intensity ratio $2(I^+ - I^-)/(I^+ + I^-)$ measured at the M_5 Tb edge. All measurements have been carried out at T = 8 K. Adapted from Ref. [16].

7.1. TbPc₂ and MnPc on CoO

We present first the results obtained for TbPc₂ deposited on a 10 ML thick CoO layer, FC from 300 to 8 K in a field B = +5 T applied perpendicular to the surface (Fig. 12). The CoO substrate presents a very weak field-induced XMCD at the $L_{2,3}$ edges of Co [Fig. 12(a)], as expected for a nominally compensated AFM surface. The nonzero XMCD is attributed to the presence of rotatable uncompensated Co spins polarized by the external field. The TbPc₂ molecules, on the other hand, present a very large XMCD signal [Fig. 12(b)], which is fully saturated at 5 T. Fig. 12(c) shows the Tb magnetization versus applied field after FC. The loop is closed (see inset) and antisymmetric about the origin, indicating that there is no measurable exchange bias in this system. The observed paramagnetic behavior is consistent with that of TbPc₂ molecules deposited on nonmagnetic metal substrates, which do not show hysteresis at T = 8 K [46,47]. Differently from TbPc₂ deposited on metals, however, we note that the Tb magnetization is not a smooth curve but presents inflection points at $B = \pm 0.5$ T, indicated by arrows in Fig. 12(c) and (d). These features correspond to the plateaus of the "butterfly" hysteresis cycle of TbPc2 measured below the blocking temperature in molecular crystals [78], suggesting that they are related to quantum tunneling effects in molecules that interact weakly with the environment. Interestingly, they disappear upon charge doping, as shown in Fig. 12(e).

To check whether the absence of exchange bias depends on the fluctuating magnetic moment of TbPc₂ during FC, we decreased the thickness of the CoO layer to 3 ML, which is expected to reduce its Néel temperature to about 20 K [96]. Fig. 12(d) shows the Tb magnetization of TbPc₂/CoO(3 ML)/Ag after FC at B = +5 T and $\theta = 45$ °. We used this geometry to polarize and probe simultaneously the out-of-plane and in-plane magnetization components since, a priori, it is not known in which direction it is easier to pin the uncompensated spins of CoO. Again, however, we found no indication of exchange bias. A negative result was obtained also after depositing Li on this surface [Fig. 12(e)] to enhance the exchange coupling between Co and Tb, in analogy with the results reported in Section 5.4. Finally, we



Fig. 13. Magnetization loops of Mn (a) and Tb (b) measured on TbPc₂/Mn (3 ML)/Ag(100), after FC at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and B = 5 T, recorded at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and T = 8 K. Inset: Detail of the low field region. Units refer to the intensity ratio $2(I^+ - I^-)/(I^+ + I^-)$ measured at the L_3 Mn edge (a) and M_5 Tb edge (b). Adapted from Ref. [16].

considered the possibility that the easy axes of TbPc₂ and CoO are perpendicular to each other and the magnetic anisotropy is stronger than Tb-Co exchange, in which case the bias field will have no effect on the Tb magnetization. This may happen because compressive strain is expected to favor preferential in-plane orientation of the magnetic moments in CoO/Ag(100) [97], differently from bulk CoO where the spins align close to the (111) direction [106]. However, measurements of TbPc₂ deposited on a tensile-strained 5 ML thick film of CoO on 45 ML MnO/Ag(100) with out-of-plane magnetic anisotropy [97] also provided no evidence of exchange bias [16]. We conclude, therefore, that either the exchange coupling between TbPc₂ and CoO is too weak or the density of pinned uncompensated spins too low to produce sizeable bias effects within the sensitivity of the present study. Measurements of MnPc/15 ML CoO/Ag(100) FC at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and 70° (not shown) confirm the absence of exchange bias on CoO also for single-decker complexes, which suggests that AFM oxides are not suited to bias metal-organic molecules.

7.2. TbPc₂ on Mn

The measurements reported in Section 5.4 show that the exchange coupling between TbPc₂ and a FM substrate is larger for bare metal surfaces compared to oxygen-covered surfaces. It is therefore likely that the same holds for AFM metals compared to AFM oxides. We thus deposited TbPc₂ on a 3 ML-thick Mn films grown on Ag(100). The XMCD asymmetry measured on the Mn films was between 2 and 3% at 5 T and 8 K. This is much smaller than the 50% measured for Mn atoms [107], or the 40% measured for Mn clusters [108] and NiMn surface alloys [109], showing that our Mn films are indeed AFM. Fig. 13(a) shows the Mn magnetization M_{Mn} measured after FC to 8 K in an applied field of 5 T applied perpendicular to the surface. By reducing B from + 5 to + 0.05 T, we observe a ten-fold reduction of the XMCD intensity. When reversing the field to B = -0.05 T, the sign of the XMCD remains negative, whereas the XMCD measured at -5 T reverses sign but is smaller by 14% with respect to that measured at +5 T. This behavior suggests the presence of uncompensated Mn spins, part of which rotate with the field and part pinned parallel to the FC direction. The ratio between the vertical loop shift and the difference $M_{Mn}(5T)$ – $M_{Mn}(-5 \text{ T})$ indicates that about $(7 \pm 2)\%$ of the uncompensated spins are pinned, while we estimate that the uncompensated spins (pinned and unpinned) are about (3 ± 1) % of the total Mn coverage, that is, 0.09 ± 0.03 ML [16].

Fig. 13(b) shows that the magnetization of TbPc₂ on Mn is hysteretic and exhibits finite remanence and coercivity $H_C = 44 \pm 4$ mT. Furthermore, M_{Tb} is shifted along the field axis by an amount $H_E = -22 \pm 4$ mT. The sign of the shift is consistent with the parallel alignment of the Tb magnetic moment and pinned Mn spins. We also find that both H_C and H_E decrease significantly for a TbPc₂/Mn sample FC at $\theta = 90^\circ$, perpendicular to the TbPc₂ easy axis [16]. These observations are telltale signatures of exchange bias in molecular systems, analogously to FM/AFM films where the shift of the hysteresis loop is often accompanied by an enhanced coercivity [11].

The measurements in Fig. 13 demonstrate that molecular complexes can be exchanged-bias by an AFM film, notwithstanding the fact that the molecular magnetic moment fluctuates during FC. As the blocking temperature of TbPc₂ on metal substrates, measured on the timescale of XMCD experiments, is about 2 K [47], below the minimum temperature reached in this study (8 K), the alignment of the pinned spins must occur in the paramagnetic regime [110] due to the field-induced magnetization of TbPc₂. Once AFM order has set in, the uncompensated exchange field from the substrate inhibits the relaxation of the Tb magnetic moment, giving rise to hysteresis. The remaining question is whether exchange bias may involve an entire molecular layer or only a few sparse molecules. The skewed shape of the loop and the small remanence of M_{Tb} indicate that only a small fraction of the TbPc₂ molecules is exchange-coupled to the substrate, consistent with the presence of a small percentage of pinned spins. This is very different from the case of TbPc₂ deposited on Ni reported in Section 7, where the square hysteresis of $M_{\rm Tb}$ shows that most molecules are coupled to the FM substrate. As XMCD averages over many molecules, pinned and unpinned, we believe that both H_E and H_C would be much larger if measured on a single pinned molecule.

8. Conclusions

In summary, we have investigated the exchange coupling properties of metal–organic molecules to FM and AFM substrates. Most studies of the magnetic interaction between molecules and surfaces focus on planar complexes, such as metal-phthalocyanines and porphyrins. The magnetic moment of these molecules, as shown in Table 1, invariably couples parallel to that of FM metal substrates such as Fe, Co, and Ni. Here we reported the case of MnPc adsorbed on Ni, for which, similar to other systems, we found that the Mn magnetic moment is rigidly coupled to the substrate magnetization. The direction of the magnetic moment, magnetic anisotropy, and coercivity is indistinguishable from the substrate. These systems are evidently of interest as spin filters in planar devices such as magnetic tunnel junctions, but not as molecular carriers of magnetic information.

Additionally, the fabrication of tunnel barriers and magnetic electrodes for spintronic devices may require the use of thicker molecular structures, either to control their electrical conductivity, e.g., by increasing the spacing between metallic contacts, or to augment their total magnetic moment. We therefore investigated the coupling of double-decker and triple-decker complexes to different types of substrates where the relevant interactions are no longer confined to the molecular ligands in contact with a magnetic surface, but extend also in the normal direction away from the interface.

We focused first on TbPc₂ as a prototype single-ion SMM, which possesses bi-stable magnetic states at low temperature. We showed that the magnetic moment of TbPc2 deposited on Ni couples antiparallel to that of the substrate. If the easy magnetization axis of TbPc₂, which is out-of-plane, coincides with that of the Ni film, the magnetic moment of Tb is effectively stabilized by the interaction with the substrate, resulting in a square magnetization hysteresis curve with nearly saturated magnetic remanence at zero applied field. Finite remanence persists up to 100 K, a temperature that is two orders of magnitude higher compared to the blocking temperature of TbPc₂ on nonmagnetic surfaces. Depending on the strength of the applied magnetic field, we observed that both antiparallel and parallel magnetic configurations can be reached, as the Zeeman interaction compensates and eventually overcomes the exchange coupling between Tb and Ni. This behavior is similar to that of an exchange spring magnet, where a hard magnetic layer stabilizes an exchange-coupled soft layer [10], although the coupling here is AFM rather than FM. If the easy magnetization axis of the Ni film is orthogonal to that of TbPc₂, we observed pronounced frustration effects as the molecule magnetization cannot align with the substrate at equilibrium, which leads to zero remanence at zero field.

Given that Tb and Ni are physically separated by a Pc ligand, our data indicate that the coupling between Tb and Ni atoms is mediated by superexchange. In agreement with this hypothesis, we find that the strength of the molecule–substrate coupling is smaller compared to single-decker molecules and can be tuned by electron or hole doping of the interface, which is expected to change the occupation of the Pc electron orbitals. This behavior also shows that the interface chemistry and magnetic response are intimately related in these systems.

The triple-decker Tb_2Pc_3 molecules deposited on Ni behave qualitatively similar to $TbPc_2$. However, both the remanence and exchange field are significantly reduced with respect to the double-decker case, consistently with a model where only the bottom Tb ion is exchangecoupled to the substrate and the top Tb ion relaxes independently from either. Therefore, exchange coupling does not extend upwards from the FM interface in multiple-decker molecules. Moreover, the thermal stability of the bottom Tb ion appears to be diminished by the dipolar field generated by the top Tb ion.

Finally, we investigated the coupling of TbPc₂ and MnPc on AFM substrates. On CoO, independently of the thickness, spin orientation, FC direction, and electron doping of the interface, we found no indication of exchange bias. TbPc₂ behaves as an isolated SMM above the blocking temperature, with fully reversible and symmetric magnetization loops. Similar observations for MnPc lead us to conclude that the magnetic coupling on oxide AFM is too weak to be effective for metalorganic molecules. On the other hand, when TbPc₂ is deposited on AFM Mn thin films, we find magnetic hysteresis and a negative horizontal shift of the Tb magnetization loop after field cooling, consistent with the observation of pinned spins in the Mn layer coupled parallel to the Tb magnetic moment. The bias field is found to be maximum when the cooling field is set parallel to the SMM easy axis. From the shape of the TbPc₂ magnetization curve, we infer that exchange bias occurs at the level of single molecules. In the future, achieving control over the origin of the pinned spins and positioning of the molecules next to them may result in new applications that exploit the interaction between SMM and AFM, such as spin valves and spin filters, where the molecular magnetic moment is simultaneously stabilized and biased by unidirectional exchange coupling.

In synthesis, exchange coupling to FM and AFM surfaces offsets the response of metal–organic molecules to temperature, applied magnetic fields, and electric currents. The effects described in this work can be used to achieve control over the electronic and magnetic properties of molecular complexes deposited on inorganic substrates, e.g., to stabilize the molecular magnetic moments against thermal fluctuations or modify the spin-polarization of magnetic interfaces. In SMM, the competition between substrate-induced exchange coupling, Zeeman interaction, and magnetic anisotropy gives rise to several metastable magnetic configurations that may be used for storing magnetic information.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the ESRF for the provision of beamtime and technical assistance. We received financial support from the European Research Council (StG 203239 NOMAD), the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MAT2010-15659), the Agència de Gestió d'Ajuts Universitaris i de Recerca (2009 SGR 695), and the Swiss Competence Centre for Materials Science and Technology (CCMX).

References

- J. Stöhr, H.C. Siegmann, Magnetism: From Fundamentals to Nanoscale Dynamics, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [2] R.E. Camley, R.L. Stamps, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 5 (23) (1993) 3727.
- [3] B. Heinrich, J.F. Cochran, Adv. Phys. 42 (1993) 523.
- [4] E.Y. Tsymbal, I. Zutic, Handbook of Spin Transport and Magnetism, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2011.
- [5] L. Bogani, W. Wernsdorfer, Nat. Mater. 7 (2008) 179.
- [6] V.A. Dediu, L.E. Hueso, I. Bergenti, C. Taliani, Nat. Mater. 8 (2009) 707.
- [7] M.N. Baibich, J.M. Broto, A. Fert, F.N.V. Dau, F. Petroff, P. Eitenne, G. Creuzet, A. Friederich, J. Chazelas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 2472.
- [8] G. Binasch, P. Grünberg, F. Saurenbach, W. Zinn, Phys. Rev. B 39 (1989) 4828.
- [9] J.S. Moodera, L.R. Kinder, T.M. Wong, R. Meservey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (16) (1995) 3273.
- [10] E.E. Fullerton, J. Jiang, S. Bader, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 200 (1) (1999) 392.
- [11] J. Nogués, I.K. Schuller, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 192 (2) (1999) 203.
- [12] S. Schmaus, A. Bagrets, Y. Nahas, T.K. Yamada, A. Bork, M. Bowen, E. Beaurepaire, F. Evers, W. Wulfhekel, Nat. Nanotechnol. 6 (3) (2011) 185.
- [13] Z. Xiong, D. Wu, Z.V. Vardeny, J. Shi, Nature 427 (6977) (2004) 821.
- [14] K.V. Raman, A.M. Kamerbeek, A. Mukherjee, N. Atodiresei, T.K. Sen, P. Lazić, V. Caciuc, R. Michel, D. Stalke, S.K. Mandal, et al., Nature 493 (7433) (2013) 509.
- [15] A. Lodi Rizzini, C. Krull, T. Balashov, J.J. Kavich, A. Mugarza, P.S. Miedema, P.K. Thakur, V. Sessi, S. Klyatskaya, M. Ruben, S. Stepanow, P. Gambardella, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (17) (2011) 177205.
- [16] A. Lodi Rizzini, C. Krull, T. Balashov, A. Mugarza, C. Nistor, F. Yakhou, V. Sessi, S. Klyatskaya, M. Ruben, S. Stepanow, et al., Nano Lett. 12 (11) (2012) 5703.
- [17] T. Suzuki, M. Kurahashi, X. Ju, Y. Yamauchi, J. Phys. Chem. B 106 (44) (2002) 11553.

- [18] S. Javaid, M. Bowen, S. Boukari, L. Joly, J.-B. Beaufrand, X. Chen, Y.J. Dappe, F. Scheurer, J.-P. Kappler, J. Arabski, W. Wulfhekel, M. Alouani, E. Beaurepaire, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (7) (2010) 077201.
- [19] C. Wäckerlin, J. Nowakowski, S.-X. Liu, M. Jaggi, D. Siewert, J. Girovsky, A. Shchyrba, T. Hählen, A. Kleibert, P.M. Oppeneer, et al., Adv. Mater. 25 (17) (2013) 2404.
- [20] S. Lach, A. Altenhof, K. Tarafder, F. Schmitt, M. Ali, M. Vogel, J. Sauther, P.M. Oppeneer, C. Ziegler, et al., Adv. Funct, Mater, 22 (5) (2012) 989.
- [21] E. Annese, J. Fujii, I. Vobornik, G. Panaccione, G. Rossi, Phys. Rev. B 84 (2011) 174443.
- [22] D. Klar, B. Brena, H. Herper, S. Bhandary, C. Weis, B. Krumme, C. Schmitz-Antoniak, B. Sanyal, O. Eriksson, H. Wende, Phys. Rev. B 88 (22) (2013) 224424.
- [23] C. Iacovita, M.V. Rastei, B.W. Heinrich, T. Brumme, J. Kortus, L. Limot, J.P. Bucher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (11) (2008) 116602.
- [24] J. Brede, N. Atodiresei, S. Kuck, P. Lazić, V. Caciuc, Y. Morikawa, G. Hoffmann, S. Blügel, R. Wiesendanger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (4) (2010) 047204.
- [25] A. Scheybal, T. Ramsvik, R. Bertschinger, M. Putero, F. Nolting, T. Jung, Chem. Phys. Lett. 411 (1-3) (2005) 214.
- [26] D. Chylarecka, C. Wckerlin, T.K. Kim, K. Mller, F. Nolting, A. Kleibert, N. Ballav, T.A. Jung, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 1 (9) (2010) 1408.
- C. Wäckerlin, D. Chylarecka, A. Kleibert, K. Müller, C. Iacovita, F. Nolting, T.A. Jung, [27] N. Ballav, Nat. Commun. 1 (5) (2010) 61.
- [28] C. Wäckerlin, K. Tarafder, D. Siewert, J. Girovsky, T. Hählen, C. Iacovita, A. Kleibert, F. Nolting, T.A. Jung, P.M. Oppeneer, et al., Chem. Sci. 3 (11) (2012) 3154.
- [29] H. Wende, M. Bernien, J. Luo, C. Sorg, N. Ponpandian, J. Kurde, J. Miguel, M. Piantek, X. Xu, P. Eckhold, W. Kuch, K. Baberschke, P.M. Panchmatia, B. Sanyal, P.M. Oppeneer, O. Eriksson, Nat. Mater. 6 (7) (2007) 516.
- [30] M. Bernien, J. Miguel, C. Weis, M.E. Ali, J. Kurde, B. Krumme, P.M. Panchmatia, B. Sanyal, M. Piantek, P. Srivastava, K. Baberschke, P.M. Oppeneer, O. Eriksson, W. Kuch, H. Wende, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (4) (2009) 047202.
- [31] C.F. Hermanns, K. Tarafder, M. Bernien, A. Krüger, Y.-M. Chang, P.M. Oppeneer, W. Kuch, Adv. Mater. 25 (25) (2013) 3473.
- [32] J. Klanke, E. Rentschler, K. Medjanik, D. Kutnyakhov, G. Schönhense, S. Krasnikov, I.V.
- Shvets, S. Schuppler, P. Nagel, M. Merz, H.J. Elmers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 137202. [33] J. Schwöbel, Y. Fu, J. Brede, A. Dilullo, G. Hoffmann, S. Klyatskaya, M. Ruben, R.
- Wiesendanger, Nat. Commun. 3 (2012) 953. D. Klar, S. Klyatskaya, A. Candini, B. Krumme, K. Kummer, P. Ohresser, V. Corradini, [34]
- V. de Renzi, R. Biagi, L. Joly, et al., Beilstein J. Nanotechnol. 4 (1) (2013) 320. [35] L. Malavolti, L. Poggini, L. Margheriti, D. Chiappe, P. Graziosi, B. Cortigiani, V. Lanzilotto,
- F. Buatier de Mongeot, P. Ohresser, E. Otero, F. Choueikani, Ph. Sainctavit, I. Bergenti, V. A. Dediu, M. Mannini, R. Sessoli, Chem. Commun. 49 (98) (2013) 11506. [36] A. Mugarza, R. Robles, C. Krull, R. Korytar, N. Lorente, P. Gambardella, Phys. Rev. B
- 85 (15) (2012) 155437.
- [37] P.H. Lippel, R.J. Wilson, M.D. Miller, C. Wöll, S. Chiang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 171. A. Mugarza, N. Lorente, P. Ordejón, C. Krull, S. Stepanow, M.-L. Bocquet, J. Fraxedas, [38] G. Ceballos, P. Gambardella, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (11) (2010) 115702.
- [39] W. Auwärter, et al., J. Chem. Phys. 124 (19) (2006) 194708.
- [40] D. Ecija, M. Trelka, C. Urban, P. de Mendoza, E. Mateo-Marti, C. Rogero, J.A. Martin-Gago, A.M. Echavarren, R. Otero, J.M. Gallego, R. Miranda, J. Phys. Chem. C 112 (24) (2008) 8988.
- [41] A. Mugarza, C. Krull, R. Robles, S. Stepanow, G. Ceballos, P. Gambardella, Nat. Commun. 2 (490) (2011) 1.
- [42] S. Stepanow, P. Miedema, A. Mugarza, G. Ceballos, P. Moras, J.C. Cezar, C. Carbone, F. M.F. de Groot, P. Gambardella, Phys. Rev. B 83 (2011) 220401.
- [43] S. Bhandary, B. Brena, P.M. Panchmatia, I. Brumboiu, M. Bernien, C. Weis, B. Krumme, C. Etz, W. Kuch, H. Wende, O. Eriksson, B. Sanyal, Phys. Rev. B 88 (2013) 024401.
- [44] N. Ishikawa, M. Sugita, T. Ishikawa, S.-Y. Koshihara, Y. Kaizu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125 (29) (2003) 8694.
- [45] F. Branzoli, P. Carretta, M. Filibian, G. Zoppellaro, M.J. Graf, J.R. Galan-Mascaros, O. Fuhr, S. Brink, M. Ruben, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131 (12) (2009) 4387.
- [46] S. Stepanow, J. Honolka, P. Gambardella, L. Vitali, N. Abdurakhmanova, T.-C. Tseng, S. Rauschenbach, S.L. Tait, V. Sessi, S. Klyatskaya, M. Ruben, K. Kern, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (34) (2010) 11900.
- [47] L. Margheriti, D. Chiappe, M. Mannini, P. Car, P. Sainctavit, M.-A. Arrio, F.B. de Mongeot, J.C. Cezar, F.M. Piras, A. Magnani, E. Otero, A. Caneschi, R. Sessoli, Adv. Mater. 22 (48) (2010) 5488.
- [48] J. Jiang, D.K. Ng, Acc. Chem. Res. 42 (1) (2008) 79.
- [49] H. Wang, K. Wang, Y. Bian, J. Jiang, N. Kobayashi, Chem. Commun. 47 (24) (2011) 6879
- [50] P. Gambardella, S. Dhesi, S. Gardonio, C. Grazioli, P. Ohresser, C. Carbone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 047202.
- [51] H. Brune, P. Gambardella, Surf. Sci. 603 (10) (2009) 1812.
- [52] F. Branzoli, P. Carretta, M. Filibian, M. Graf, S. Klyatskaya, M. Ruben, F. Coneri, P. Dhakal, Phys. Rev. B 82 (13) (2010) 134401.
- [53] K. Kasuga, M. Ando, H. Morimoto, M. Isa, Preparation of new phthalocyanine complexes of yttrium(iii) and some lanthanoid(iii) ions, Chem, Lett. 82 (13) (1986) 1095-1098.
- [54] F. Huang, M.T. Kief, G.J. Mankey, R.F. Willis, Phys. Rev. B 49 (6) (1994) 3962.
- [55] B. Schulz, K. Baberschke, Phys. Rev. B 50 (18) (1994) 13467.
- [56] I. Sebastian, T. Bertrams, K. Meinel, H. Neddermeyer, Faraday Discuss. 114 (1999) 129.
- [57] E. Goering, A. Fuss, W. Weber, J. Will, G. Schütz, J. Appl. Phys. 88 (10) (2000) 5920. [58] P. Gambardella, A. Dallmeyer, K. Maiti, M. Malagoli, W. Eberhardt, K. Kern, C.
- Carbone, Nature 416 (2002) 301.
- P. Gambardella, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 15 (2003) S2533. [59]
- J. Dresselhaus, D. Spanke, F.U. Hillebrecht, E. Kisker, G. van der Laan, J.B. Goedkoop, [60] N.B. Brookes, Phys. Rev. B 56 (9) (1997) 5461.

- [61] G. Dufour, C. Poncev, F. Rochet, H. Roulet, S. Jacobucci, M. Sacchi, F. Yubero, N. Motta, M.N. Piancastelli, A. Sgarlata, M.D. Crescenzi, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 76 (1995) 219.
- [62] L. Vitali, S. Fabris, A.M. Conte, S. Brink, M. Ruben, S. Baroni, K. Kern, Nano Lett. 8 (10) (2008) 3364.
- [63] R. Nünthel, T. Gleitsmann, P. Poulopoulos, A. Scherz, J. Lindner, E. Kosubek, C. Litwinski, Z. Li, H. Wende, K. Baberschke, S. Stolbov, T.S. Rahman, Surf. Sci. 531 (2003) 53. [64] P.L. Cook, X. Liu, W. Yang, F.J. Himpsel1, J. Chem. Phys. 131 (2009) 194701.
- [65] J.B. Goedkoop, B.T. Thole, G. van der Laan, G.A. Sawatzky, F.M.F. de Groot, J.C. Fuggle, Phys. Rev. B 37 (4) (1988) 2086.
- K. Katoh, T. Komeda, M. Yamashita, Dalton Trans. 39 (20) (2010) 4708. [66] [67] C.G. Barraclough, R.L. Martin, S. Mitra, R.C. Sherwood, J. Chem. Phys. 53 (5) (1970) 1638
- [68] J. Wang, Y. Shi, J. Cao, R. Wu, Appl. Phys. Lett. 94 (12) (2009) 122502.
- [69] F. Djeghloul, F. Ibrahim, M. Cantoni, M. Bowen, L. Joly, S. Boukari, P. Ohresser, F. Bertran, P. Le Fèvre, P. Thakur, et al., Direct observation of a highly spin-polarized organic spinterface at room temperature, Sci. Rep. 3 (2013) 1272.
- [70] S. Stepanow, A. Lodi Rizzini, C. Krull, J. Kavich, J.C. Cezar, F. Yakhou-Harris, P.M. Sheverdyaeva, P. Moras, C. Carbone, G. Ceballos, A. Mugarza, P. Gambardella, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136 (2014) 5451.
- A. Postnikov, V. Anisimov, V. Gubanov, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 39 (3) (1983) 295.
- X. Sun, B. Wang, Y. Yamauchi, J. Phys. Chem. C 116 (35) (2012) 18752. [72] [73] N. Ishikawa, Polyhedron 26 (9-11) (2007) 2147; Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Molecule-based Magnets (ICMM 2006) - Victoria, B.C., Canada, August 13-17, 2006, ICMM, 2006.
- [74] N. Ishikawa, M. Sugita, T. Okubo, N. Tanaka, T. Iino, Y. Kaizu, Inorg. Chem. 42 (7) (2003) 2440.
- [75] N. Ishikawa, M. Sugita, T. Ishikawa, S.-Y. Koshihara, Y. Kaizu, J. Phys. Chem. B 108 (31) (2004) 11265.
- [76] M. Gonidec, R. Biagi, V. Corradini, F. Moro, V. De Renzi, U. del Pennino, D. Summa, L. Muccioli, C. Zannoni, D.B. Amabilino, J. Veciana, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133 (17) (2011) 6603
- [77] N. Ishikawa, M. Sugita, N. Tanaka, T. Ishikawa, S. Koshihara, Y. Kaizu, Inorg. Chem. 43 (18) (2004) 5498.
- N. Ishikawa, M. Sugita, W. Wernsdorfer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44 (19) (2005) 2931.
- J.M. Baker, Rep. Prog. Phys. 34 (1) (1971) 109. [79]
- [80] P.H. Christensen, S. Mrup, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 35 (1-3) (1983) 130.
- C. Krull, R. Robles, A. Mugarza, P. Gambardella, Nat. Mater. 12 (4) (2013) 337. [81]
- [82] R. Robles, N. Lorente, H. Isshiki, J. Liu, K. Katoh, B.K. Breedlove, M. Yamashita, T. Komeda, Nano Lett. 12 (7) (2012) 3609.
- [83] T. Komeda, H. Isshiki, J. Liu, Y.-F. Zhang, N. Lorente, K. Katoh, B.K. Breedlove, M. Yamashita, Nat. Commun. 2 (2011) 217.
- [84] P. Petit, P. Turek, J.-J. André, R. Even, J. Simon, R. Madru, M. Al Sadoun, G. Guillaud, M. Maitrot, Synth. Met. 29 (2) (1989) 59.
- [85] D. Gryko, J. Li, J.R. Diers, K.M. Roth, D.F. Bocian, W.G. Kuhr, J.S. Lindsey, J. Mater. Chem. 11 (4) (2001) 1162 (see http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/jm/b0/b0082240).
- N. Ishikawa, S. Otsuka, Y. Kaizu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44 (5) (2005) 731.
- [87] K. Katoh, T. Kajiwara, M. Nakano, Y. Nakazawa, W. Wernsdorfer, N. Ishikawa, B.K. Breedlove, M. Yamashita, Chem. Eur. J. 17 (1) (2011) 117.
- [88] G. Guillaud, M. Al Sadoun, M. Maitrot, J. Simon, M. Bouvet, Chem. Phys. Lett. 167 (6) (1990) 503.
- Y. Chen, W. Su, M. Bai, J. Jiang, X. Li, Y. Liu, L. Wang, S. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127 [89] (45) (2005) 15700.
- [90] Z. Liu, A.A. Yasseri, J.S. Lindsey, D.F. Bocian, Science 302 (5650) (2003) 1543.
- N. Ishikawa, T. Iino, Y. Kaizu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (38) (2002) 11440. [91]
- [92] K. Takano, R.H. Kodama, A.E. Berkowitz, W. Cao, G. Thomas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (6) (1997) 1130.
- [93] H. Ohldag, A. Scholl, F. Nolting, E. Arenholz, S. Maat, A.T. Young, M. Carey, J. Stöhr, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (1) (2003) 017203.
- [94] J. Noguès, S. Stepanow, A. Bollero, J. Sort, B. Dieny, F. Nolting, P. Gambardella, Appl. Phys. Lett. 95 (15) (2009) 152515-1-152515-3.
- [95] P. Gambardella, S. Stepanow, A. Dmitriev, J. Honolka, F.M.F. de Groot, M. Lingenfelder, S.S. Gupta, D.D. Sarma, P. Bencok, S. Stanescu, S. Clair, S. Pons, N. Lin, A.P. Seitsonen, H. Brune, J.V. Barth, K. Kern, Nat. Mater. 8 (3) (2009) 189.
- [96] T. Ambrose, C.L. Chien, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (10) (1996) 1743.
- [97] S.I. Csiszar, M.W. Haverkort, Z. Hu, A. Tanaka, H.H. Hsieh, H.-J. Lin, C.T. Chen, T. Hibma, L.H. Tjeng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (18) (2005) 187205.
- [98] B.T. Jonker, J.J. Krebs, G.A. Prinz, Phys. Rev. B 39 (1989) 1399.
- [99] S. Blügel, P.H. Dederichs, Europhys. Lett. 9 (6) (1989) 597.
- [100] P. Schieffer, M.-H. Tuilier, M.-C. Hanf, C. Krembel, G. Gewinner, Surf. Sci. 422 (13) (1999) 132.
- [101] T. Massalski, I. Murray, L. Bennett, H. Baker, Binary alloy phase diagrams, Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams, no. v. 2, 1986.
- [102] P. Schieffer, M. Hanf, C. Krembel, G. Gewinner, Surf. Sci. 446 (3) (2000) 175.
- [103] P. Schieffer, C. Krembel, M.-C. Hanf, M.-H. Tuilier, P. Wetzel, G. Gewinner, K. Hricovini, Eur. Phys. J. B 8 (2) (1999) 165.
- [104] P. Krüger, O. Elmouhssine, C. Demangeat, J.C. Parlebas, Phys. Rev. B 54 (9) (1996) 6393.
- [105] J. Hafner, D. Spišák, Phys. Rev. B 72 (2005) 144420.
- [106] W. Jauch, M. Reehuis, H.J. Bleif, F. Kubanek, P. Pattison, Phys. Rev. B 64 (5) (2001) 052102.
- [107] P. Gambardella, H. Brune, S.S. Dhesi, P. Bencok, S.R. Krishnakumar, S. Gardonio, M. Veronese, C. Grazioli, C. Carbone, Phys. Rev. B 72 (4) (2005) 045337.
- [108] O. Rader, W. Gudat, D. Schmitz, C. Carbone, W. Eberhardt, Phys. Rev. B 56 (1997) 5053
- [109] W.L. O'Brien, B.P. Tonner, Phys. Rev. B 51 (1995) 617.
- [110] J.W. Cai, K. Liu, C.L. Chien, Phys. Rev. B 60 (1) (1999) 72.