Spin and charge dynamics in [TbPc₂]⁰ and [DyPc₂]⁰ single-molecule magnets

F. Branzoli,¹ P. Carretta,¹ M. Filibian,¹ M. J. Graf,² S. Klyatskaya,³ M. Ruben,^{3,4} F. Coneri,⁵ and P. Dhakal²

¹Department of Physics "A. Volta," University of Pavia-CNISM, 27100 Pavia, Italy

²Department of Physics, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02467, USA

³Institute of Nanotechnology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

⁴IPCMS-CNRS UMR 7504, Université de Strasbourg, 67034 Strasbourg, France

⁵Department of Physics, University of Parma-CNISM, 43100 Parma, Italy

(Received 27 May 2010; published 1 October 2010)

Magnetization, ac-susceptibility, and muon spin-relaxation (μ SR) measurements have been performed in neutral phthalocyaninato lanthanide ([$LnPc_2$]⁰) single-molecule magnets in order to determine the low-energy levels structure and to compare the low-frequency spin excitations probed by means of macroscopic techniques, such as ac susceptibility, with the ones explored by means of techniques of microscopic character, such as μ SR. Both techniques show a high temperature thermally activated regime for the spin dynamics and a low-temperature tunneling one. While in the activated regime the correlation times for the spin fluctuations estimated by ac susceptibility and μ SR basically agree, clear discrepancies are found in the tunneling regime. In particular, μ SR probes a faster dynamics with respect to ac susceptibility. It is argued that the tunneling dynamics probed by μ SR involves fluctuations which do not yield a net change in the macroscopic magnetization probed by ac susceptibility. Finally resistivity measurements in [TbPc_2]⁰ crystals show a high temperature nearly metallic behavior and a low-temperature-activated behavior.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.82.134401

PACS number(s): 75.50.Xx, 76.75.+i, 76.60.Es

I. INTRODUCTION

The trend toward ever-smaller electronic devices is driving electronics to its ultimate molecular-scale limit, which allows for the exploitation of quantum effects. Singlemolecule magnets (SMMs) are among the most promising materials to be used in molecular spintronic devices¹ or as logic units in quantum computers,² since they combine the classical macroscale properties of bulk magnetic materials with the advantages of nanoscale entities, such as quantum coherence. It has already been shown theoretically² that molecular magnets can be used to build efficient memory devices³ and, in particular, one single molecule can serve as a storage unit of a dynamic random access memory device.^{4,5} In addition, SMMs have been recognized as suitable materials which can be used as contrast agents for medical diagnostics. In fact, these molecules comprise the chemical and magnetic structures of the gadolinium chelates with the superparamagnetism of the superparamagnetic iron oxides, materials which represent some of the most common magnetic resonance imaging contrast agents in current use.⁶

 $LnPc_2$ -based compounds (Pc=C₃₂H₁₆N₈ is phthalocyanine, Ln a lanthanide ion) represent a new class of SMM characterized by a doubly degenerate ground state and large magnetic anisotropy which yields a remarkably large barrier to spin reversal. In particular, [TbPc₂]⁻ and [DyPc₂]⁻ complexes are the first mononuclear systems exhibiting a very slow relaxation of magnetization⁷ and consequently a characteristic correlation time (τ_c) for the spin fluctuations reaching several microseconds at liquid-nitrogen temperature. At variance with transition-metal molecular magnets, in the $LnPc_2$ molecules the electronic levels of the ground-state multiplet, with angular momentum *J*, are mainly split by the strong anisotropic crystal field (CF) at the Ln^{3+} ion. Accordingly the separation between the double degenerate ground state and the first excited levels can reach several hundreds of kelvin, thus yielding unprecedently large τ_c at cryogenic temperatures. Moreover, it is noticed that the magnetic properties of these lanthanide complexes are strongly influenced by the chemical and structural modification of the ligands through which the CF potential can be varied.⁸ The CF configuration can be modified from the very dilute limit in $[LnPc_2]^-[TBA]^+ \times N[TBA]Br$, with $N+1 \ge 1$ the number tetrabutylammonia units, to the concentrated limit consisting solely of neutral double-decker molecules $[LnPc_2]^0$. Recently it has been shown that one- or two-electron oxidation of $[LnPc_2]^-$ yields a compression of the cage around Ln^{3+} ion and an increase in the CF splitting.⁹⁻¹¹

By means of nuclear magnetic resonance and muon spin relaxation (μ SR), the correlation time for the spin fluctuations in the neutral compounds $[TbPc_2]^0$ and $[DyPc_2]^0$ was found to be close to 0.1 ms at 50 K,¹² about two orders of magnitude larger than the one previously observed in the nonoxidized lanthanide-based single-molecule magnets. In $[TbPc_2]^0$ two different regimes for the spin fluctuations have been evidenced: a high temperature thermally activated regime involving spin fluctuations, driven by spin-phonon coupling, across a barrier $\Delta \approx 880$ K separating the J=6,m $=\pm 6$ ground states and the $|J=6,m=\pm 5\rangle$ first excited states, and a low-temperature regime involving quantum fluctuations within the twofold degenerate ground state. For $[DyPc_2]^0$ a high temperature thermally activated regime is also found, however, it cannot be explained in terms of a single spin-phonon coupling constant.

Here we report an analysis of the static uniform spin susceptibility (χ_S) for the $[DyPc_2]^0$ complex, from which a determination of the low-energy-level structure of the Dy^{3+} -ion spin multiplet J=15/2 is made. On the basis of these results for the CF level splitting it is possible to analyze the temperature (T) dependence of muon spin-lattice relaxation rate in the presence of an applied field, derived from previous

 μ SR measurements performed at the ISIS facility¹² and from new measurements performed at the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI). Accordingly, the spin-phonon couplings driving the transitions among the CF levels have been derived and the characteristic correlation time for the spin fluctuations estimated. μ SR results are compared to the ones obtained by ac-susceptibility measurements in the same samples. It is found that both ac susceptibility and μ SR probe a hightemperature activated and a low-temperature tunneling regime for the spin dynamics. However, while the values estimated for the correlation times in the high-temperatureactivated regime are quite close, at low temperature the tunneling rate probed by μ SR is much faster than the one derived by ac susceptibility. Finally, transport measurements in [TbPc₂]⁰ crystals are presented.

II. TECHNICAL ASPECTS AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

All reagents were purchased from Across or Aldrich and used without further purification. The synthesis of both samples was carried out by using several modifications of the protocol based on the published procedure reported in Ref. 13. The synthesis was accomplished by templating reactions, starting from a mixture of the phthalonitrile precursor o-dicyanobenzene and the lanthanide acetylacetonate $Ln(acac)_3 \cdot nH_2O$, in the presence of a strong base [e.g., 1,8diazabicyclo[5,4,0]undec-7-ene (DBU) and alkoxides] and high-boiling solvents, such as pentanol or hexanol. A mixture of 1,2-dicyanobenzene (42 mmol), $Ln(acac)_3 \cdot 4H_2O$ (Ln =Tb³⁺, Dy³⁺) (5 mmol), and DBU (21 mmol) in 50 mL of 1-pentanol was refluxed for 1.5 days. The solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and then acetic acid was added and the mixture was heated at 100 °C for 0.5 h. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with *n*-hexane and Et₂O. The crude purple product was redissolved in 800 Ml of CHCl₃/MeOH (1/1) and the undissolved PcH_2 was filtered off. Both forms, blue (anionic $[LnPc_2]^-$) and green (neutral $[LnPc_2]^0$), were obtained simultaneously, as revealed by electronic absorption spectra.¹³ In order to convert the unstabilized anionic form to the neutral one, the reaction mixture was presorbed on active (H₂O-0%) basic alumina oxide. Purification was carried out by column chromatography on basic alumina oxide (deactivated with 4.6% H_2O , level IV) with chlorophorm-methanol mixture (10:1) as eluent. In general, the yield was 30-35 %. By means of additional radial chromatography on silica gel followed by recrystallization from chloroform-hexane mixture, analytically pure powder samples were achieved.

Deep green crystals of the products were obtained by using slow diffusion of CH_2Cl_2 into $C_2H_2Cl_4$ solution of the pristine $[LnPc_2]^0$. After 2 weeks, deep green needlelike crystals were obtained.¹⁴ The $[LnPc_2]^0$ molecules crystallized in the space group $P2_12_12_1$ (γ phase) as reported in Ref. 15 and were isomorphous to each other.

dc magnetization (M) and ac-susceptibility measurements have been performed by means of a magnetic property measurement system (MPMS)-XL7 Quantum Design superconducting quantum interference device magnetometer. The



FIG. 1. (Color online) Temperature dependence of $\chi_s T$ in $[DyPc_2]^0$ for H=1000 G (open circles) and the best fit curve calculated according to Eq. (1) curve (solid line). The dashed line corresponds to the behavior calculated on the basis of $[DyPc_2]^-$ ·TBA⁺ CF splittings derived by Ishikawa *et al.* (Ref. 16).

static uniform susceptibility was determined from the ratio $\chi_S = M/H$ in an applied field H=1000 G in the 2–300 K temperature range. In Fig. 1 the *T* dependence of $\chi_S T$ is shown. In-phase (χ' real) and out-of-phase (χ'' -imaginary) ac-susceptibility data were collected at oscillating field frequencies between 10 and 1488 Hz, in the 10–80 K temperature range and for different applied static fields. In Fig. 2 the temperature and frequency dependence of the ac susceptibili



FIG. 2. (Color online) Temperature dependence of (a) $\chi' T$ and (b) χ''/χ_S in [TbPc₂]⁰, where χ' , χ'' , and χ_S are in-phase ac, outof-phase ac, and dc molar magnetic susceptibilities, respectively. The measurements were performed in 4 G oscillating magnetic field at 10, 300, 997, and 1488 Hz, in the presence of a 1000 G dc component.



FIG. 3. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the normalized out-of-phase spin susceptibility χ''/χ_S in [DyPc₂]⁰ for a 4 G oscillating magnetic field at various frequencies. A 9000 G static external field was applied.

ity for $[\text{TbPc}_2]^0$ is shown. A sharp drop in $\chi'T$ is observed on cooling in correspondence to a peak in χ''/χ_s , which progressively shifts to lower temperatures as the ac frequency is lowered. In $[\text{DyPc}_2]^0$ analogous but slightly broader peaks are observed at lower temperature (Fig. 3), suggesting a faster dynamic (see Sec. III).

Longitudinal-field μ SR measurements in the 50 mK–300 K temperature range were carried out either at ISIS pulsed muon facility on MuSR beamline¹² or at PSI muon facility on general purpose surface-muon instrument (GPS) and low-temperature facility (LTF) beamlines. In contrast to the ISIS measurements, the continuous beam muon production at PSI allows for a much more accurate determination of the short-time relaxation. In Fig. 4 zero-field (ZF) depolarization curves at a few selected temperatures are shown for [DyPc₂]⁰. A Kubo-Toyabe (KT) relaxation¹⁷ is clearly observed below $T^* \approx 60$ K, indicating very slow fluctuations, that is, $\nu/(\gamma_{\mu}\sqrt{\langle \Delta h_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{\mu}}) \ll 1$ with $\nu = 1/\tau_c$ being the characteristic frequency of the spin fluctuations and $\langle \Delta h_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{\mu}$ is the mean-squared amplitude of the field fluctuations at the muon



FIG. 4. (Color online) Time evolution of the muon polarization in zero field in $[DyPc_2]^0$ sample normalized to its value for $t \rightarrow 0$ at three selected temperatures.



FIG. 5. (Color online) T dependence of the muon ZF longitudinal relaxation rate (circles) in $[TbPc_2]^0$ and $[DyPc_2]^0$ (in the inset) together with 1000 G longitudinal field data from Ref. 12 (squares).

site. γ_{μ} is the muon gyromagnetic ratio. By fitting the lowtemperature data with a KT function one can estimate the static field distribution probed by the muons around $\sqrt{\langle \Delta h_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{\mu}} = 490$ G and $\sqrt{\langle \Delta h_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{\mu}} = 550$ G for the Dy and Tb compounds, respectively. These values are in excellent agreement with the measured "repolarization" of the signals with applied longitudinal fields. In the slow fluctuations regime only the 1/3 tail of the KT depolarization function is affected by slow fluctuations and it decays according to $P_{\mu}(t) = \exp[-(2/3)\nu t] = \exp(-\lambda t)$. On the other hand, for T T^* (around 60 K for $[DyPc_2]^0$ and around 90 K for $[TbPc_2]^{(0)}$ the fast fluctuations limit is attained. In this limit the decay of the polarization in zero or in a longitudinal field is given by $P_{\mu}(t) = \exp(-2\gamma_{\mu}^2 \langle \Delta h_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{\mu} t / \nu)^{\beta} = \exp(-\lambda t)^{\beta}$, where the exponent β can differ from unity in case of a distribution of muon sites, as it can be the case here. In the intermediate fluctuation limit $\nu/(\gamma_{\mu}\sqrt{\langle\Delta h_{\perp}^2\rangle_{\mu}}) \ge 1$ ($T \simeq T^*$), λ starts to decrease according to the so-called Abragam form.¹⁷

By using the aforementioned expressions for the decay of the polarization in the fast and slow fluctuations regime it was possible to derive the T dependence of λ (Fig. 5) in zero field and estimate the T dependence of τ_c (see Fig. 6), which was compared with the one previously derived at ISIS for a 1000 G longitudinal field.¹² The data for both materials were fit with a stretched exponential above T^* with a *T*-independent exponent $\beta = 0.5$. In Fig. 6 one notices that in the high-T regime the τ_c derived in zero field and in a 1000 G longitudinal field are in satisfactory agreement and show an activated T dependence of τ_c . On the other hand, below 50 K, where tunneling processes become relevant, $\tau_c(T)$ is observed to flatten at values which differ by more than an order of magnitude upon increasing the field from 0 to 1000 G. The increase in τ_c with the magnetic field intensity, also observed in the ac-susceptibility measurements (Sec. III), should be ascribed to the removal of the ground-state degeneracy by the magnetic field, which progressively inhibits the tunneling processes.

Zero-field μ SR data taken below 1 K in LTF yield an essentially temperature-independent depolarization rate for



FIG. 6. (Color online) T dependence of the correlation time for the spin fluctuations in $[TbPc_2]^0$ and $[DyPc_2]^0$ (in the inset, for T $>T^*$) derived from λ data reported in Fig. 5.

both complexes down to 50 mK, as expected for tunnelingdominated depolarization. Only a weak maximum in $\lambda(T)$, near T=0.2 K for the Dy sample and near T=0.7 K for the Tb sample, warrant further studies.¹⁴

Resistivity measurements on single-crystal samples of $[\text{TbPc}_2]^0$, with current along the c axis, have been performed in the 2.8–294 K temperature range in dc mode by using a four-terminal technique. Contacts were made by attaching 0.01-mm-diameter gold wires to the samples with conductive paste and submicroampere currents were used. The samples were left free standing to minimize thermal stress and consequent cracking. The voltage has been measured with a voltmeter with an internal impedance larger than 10 G Ω . Three different crystals have been measured and they all showed an increasing resistance on cooling from 2.5 k Ω to greater than 2 G Ω below 15 K. In Fig. 7 the temperature dependence of the resistivity for a crystal with a 2.72 $\times 10^{-3}$ area/length ratio is shown. The sample conducts at room temperature with a resistivity $\rho \simeq 6.4 \ \Omega \ cm$. Upon cooling, ρ presents an initial smooth decrease till about 220 K and then an activated growth, characterized by an activation energy $\Delta E \simeq 11$ meV, down to about 25 K.



the activated trend in the 220-30 K region.

III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

First we shall discuss the temperature dependence of the static uniform susceptibility χ_s in $[DyPc_2]^0$, in order to derive the CF levels structure. Since we are dealing with a powder, the molecules and the CF axis are randomly oriented with respect to the external field $\mathbf{H} \| \hat{\mathbf{z}}$. Thus the total magnetization M can be deduced by summing the contributions of the molecules with the anisotropy axis perpendicular and parallel to $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, namely, $M(T) = \frac{2}{3}M_{x,y}(T) + \frac{1}{3}M_{y}(T)$ with

$$M_{i}(T) = N_{A} \frac{\sum_{k=-15/2}^{+15/2} \langle \mu_{i}^{k} \rangle e^{-E_{i}^{k}/T}}{\sum_{k=-15/2}^{+15/2} e^{-E_{i}^{k}/T}}, \quad i = x, y, z.$$
(1)

In Eq. (1), E_i^k represents the kth eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\rm CF} + g\mu_B \hat{\mathbf{J}} \cdot \mathbf{H}, \qquad (2)$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{CF}$ is the crystal-field Hamiltonian and $g\mu_B \hat{\mathbf{J}} \cdot \mathbf{H}$ is the Zeeman term. $\langle \mu_i^k \rangle$ is the expectation value of the *i*th component of the magnetic moment over the kth eigenstate of the Hamiltonian. In order to analyze $\chi_S T$ we started from the CF structure for the J=15/2 ground-state multiplet initially derived by Ishikawa *et al.* for $[DyPc_2]^- \cdot TBA^+$.¹⁶ Then we have varied the splitting among the levels until we found the best fit of the experimental data. Two sets of possible solutions were found to fit reasonably well $\chi_s(T)T$ data. However, only one of them yielded a splitting between the lowest lying energy levels around 65 K, the value derived from ac-susceptibility measurements (see later on). The corresponding energy splittings are $\Delta_1 = 65$ K, corresponding to the separation between the $|m=\pm 13/2\rangle$ ground states and the $|m=\pm 9/2\rangle$ first excited levels, $\Delta_2=47$ K between the $|m=\pm 9/2\rangle$ levels and the $|m=\pm 11/2\rangle$ second excited levels and $\Delta_3 = 460$ K between the $|m = \pm 11/2\rangle$ levels and the |m| $=\pm 15/2$ third excited levels. These values differ from the ones deduced for $[DyPc_2]^-$ on the basis of crystal-field calculations ($\Delta_1 \simeq 50$ K, $\Delta_2 \simeq 207$ K, and $\Delta_3 \simeq 126$ K).¹⁶ As it can be seen in Fig. 1, a good fit of the experimental data is found.

From the CF splittings, combined with the μ SR relaxation data (Fig. 8), it is possible to derive information on the spinphonon coupling driving the high-T spin fluctuations in $[DyPc_2]^0$. Since the energy difference between the muon hyperfine levels and the *m* levels of Dy^{3+} spin is large, the muon longitudinal relaxation rate λ is driven by an indirect relaxation mechanism involving a muon spin flip without change in m. This is possible thanks to the tensorial nature of the hyperfine coupling constant, which allows the coupling of the transverse components of the hyperfine field $h_{x,y}$ to J_{z} . Thus, denoting with (τ_m) the finite lifetime of the crystalfield levels induced by the spin-phonon scattering processes, λ can be written in the form¹⁸

$$\lambda = \frac{\gamma_{\mu}^2 \langle \Delta h_{\perp}^2 \rangle}{Z} \sum_{m=-15/2}^{+15/2} \frac{\tau_m e^{-E_m/T}}{1 + \omega_L^2 \tau_m^2},$$
 (3)

measured for a $[TbPc_2]^0$ crystal. Solid line represents the best fit of where E_m being the eigenvalues of the CF levels and Z is the corresponding partition function. It is noted that the low



FIG. 8. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the muon longitudinal relaxation rate in $[DyPc_2]^0$ for H=1000 G (circles). The line is the best fit according to Eqs. (3) and (5).

magnetic field (1000 G) applied during μ SR experiments yields a negligible correction to E_m and, hence, its effect is negligible for $k_B T \ge \mu_B H$. The lifetime for the *m* levels can be expressed in terms of the transition probabilities $p_{m,m\pm 1}$ between *m* and $m\pm 1$ levels, which depend on the CF eigenvalues and on the spin-phonon coupling constant *C*:¹⁹

$$\frac{1}{\tau_m} = p_{m,m-1} + p_{m,m+1},$$
(4)

$$p_{m,m\pm 1} = C_{m,m\pm 1} \frac{(E_{m\pm 1} - E_m)^3}{e^{(E_{m\pm 1} - E_m)/T} - 1}.$$
 (5)

Now the temperature-dependent muon relaxation rate can be correctly fit using Eqs. (3)–(5) (Fig. 8) by considering the CF level splitting previously estimated from $\chi_S T$ fit and by using three different spin-phonon constants C_1 and $C_2 \rightarrow 0$, while $C_3 \simeq 2000 \text{ Hz/K}^3$, which should be associated with the transitions $|m = \pm 13/2\rangle \leftrightarrow |m = \pm 9/2\rangle$, $|m = \pm 9/2\rangle \leftrightarrow |m = \pm 11/2\rangle$, and $|m = \pm 11/2\rangle \leftrightarrow |m = \pm 15/2\rangle$, respectively. We remark that *C* increases with the energy jump involved in the transition. This suggests that the relevant processes driving the transitions preferentially involve high-energy vibrational modes.

In Fig. 8 one can notice that the best fit according to Eq. (3) reproduces the muon relaxation data very well for T > 25 K. Since in this model only the thermally activated spin excitations are concerned, the behavior of $\lambda(T)$ below 25 K should be probably ascribed to different processes. For instance, also in $\chi_S T$ a discrepancy from the theoretical calculation is observed at very low *T*. In fact, for T < 4 K $\chi_S T$ abruptly upturns, possibly signaling the onset of intermolecular correlations. In order to clarify this point, μ SR experiments have been performed down to very low *T* in [DyPc₂]⁰ and [TbPc₂]⁰ compounds. As noted earlier, while the muon relaxation rate is nearly *T* independent below 1 K for both complexes (at least down to 50 mK), the very weak



FIG. 9. (Color online) Natural logarithm of the spin-correlation rate against the inverse of the χ''/χ_S peak temperature for $[\text{TbPc}_2]^0$ (*H*=1000 G) (top) and for $[\text{DyPc}_2]^0$ (*H*=9000 G) (bottom). The solid lines correspond to the best fits for an activated behavior for a barrier Δ =750 K for $[\text{TbPc}_2]^0$ and Δ =65 K for $[\text{DyPc}_2]^0$.

maxima located at T=0.2 K and 0.7 K for the Dy and Tb samples,¹⁴ respectively, may, in fact, signal an onset of these intermolecular correlations.

Now we turn to the comparison of the μ SR and acsusceptibility results. The maxima in χ''/χ_s shifting to lower temperature upon decreasing the irradiation frequency clearly indicate a progressive slowing down of the dynamics on cooling. In the case of a monodispersive dynamical relaxation mode, at the peak temperature (T_m) the bulk magnetization relaxation time $\tau_c(T_m)$ matches the inverse of the angular frequency ω of the applied oscillating field, according to the expression

$$\chi''(\omega) = \frac{\chi_S \omega \tau_c}{1 + \omega^2 \tau_c^2}.$$
 (6)

We have checked the validity of this expression by performing frequency scans at a fixed temperature and found that in the explored *T* range the above expression was satisfied. The linear relation of $\ln[\tau_c(T_m)^{-1}]$ to 1/T (Fig. 9) indicates that the Orbach processes²⁰ are dominant in the high-temperature range and that the Arrhenius law $\tau_c = \tau_0 \exp(\Delta/T)$ for the correlation time is obeyed. From the fit of $[\text{TbPc}_2]^0$ data in



FIG. 10. (Color online) Temperature dependence of τ_c , deduced from Eq. (6) for a static field of 0, 500, 1000, and 4000 G in [TbPc₂]⁰ compound. Solid circles correspond to τ_c temperature dependence as derived from μ SR analysis.

Fig. 9 one estimates a value for the energy barrier $\Delta \approx 750$ K, slightly smaller than the one deduced from muon relaxation rate measurements¹² in the same sample. On the other hand it should be remarked that this value is much larger than the one reported by Ishikawa *et al.* ($\Delta \approx 590$ K) in the same nominal compound.⁹ The fit of $[DyPc_2]^0$ data in Fig. 9 gives a much smaller activation energy $\Delta \approx 65$ K, which corresponds quite well to the one obtained from the analysis of the static susceptibility data in Fig. 1 and provides an estimate for the separation among the lowest energy levels of $[DyPc_2]^0$. This value is much different with the barrier estimated from μ SR relaxation at higher temperature, which yields an estimate for the energy separation among the high-energy levels.¹²

In order to better compare the T dependence of the correlation time derived by means of ac susceptibility and μ SR in $[\text{TbPc}_2]^0$ we have derived, via Eq. (6), the behavior of τ_c estimated from ac-susceptibility measurements and compared to the data reported in Fig. 6, derived with μ SR. As it is shown in Fig. 10 the T dependence of τ_c derived by the two techniques at different magnetic fields overlap rather well above 45 K. At lower temperatures a plateau is evidenced by both techniques and associated with tunneling processes among the $|m=\pm 6\rangle$ low-energy levels. It is noticed that the plateau lies at different values depending on the magnitude of the applied field and on the technique. As it has been pointed out in Ref. 8 the magnetic field leads to a Zeeman splitting of the twofold degenerate ground state and causes a reduction in the tunneling rate. Although this justifies the progressive increase in τ_c with magnetic field observed by each technique, it cannot explain the significant difference in the τ_c values deduced by μ SR and ac susceptibility in the low-temperature tunneling regime. Thus, while both techniques probe the same high-temperature-activated dynamics driven by spin-phonon coupling, the lowtemperature tunneling dynamics probed at the microscopic or at the macroscopic level are different. This suggests that there is some correlation among the magnetic moments in the different molecular units which cause fluctuations which do not affect the total magnetization. This coupling should lead to flip-flop-like fluctuations²¹ yielding a magnetic moment flip from $|m=+6\rangle$ to $|m=-6\rangle$ on one molecule and the opposite flip in the adjacent molecule. These processes, which cannot be accounted for by a direct dipolar coupling among Tb³⁺ spins since they produce a change $\Delta m = \pm 12$, do not yield a net variation in the total magnetization and, hence, do not contribute to the ac susceptibility. In other words, at low-T μ SR probes T₂-like processes involving the fluctuations of Tb³⁺ moments while ac susceptibility probes only those T_1 -like processes yielding a net variation in the macroscopic magnetization. The precise nature of these fluctuations, similar to the ones involving phonon trapping in Ni10,²² still has to be clarified.

Finally, we turn to the discussion of transport properties. As it is shown in Fig. 7, the temperature dependence of the resistivity is characterized by a rather small energy barrier, around 11 meV. Now, in [TbPc2]⁰ molecular orbital calculations²³ show that there is one unpaired electron in the a_2 highest occupied molecular orbital, basically involving orbitals from the carbon atoms at the center of the Pc rings. If there is a sufficient overlap between the a_2 orbitals of the adjacent molecules, which are stacked forming chains, electron delocalization and a metallic behavior should be attained. However, in those Pc-based systems the electron correlations are significant, particularly at half-band filling,²⁴ and the on-site Coulomb repulsion U can overcome the hopping integral t among adjacent molecules leading to a Hubbard insulating behavior. Hence, the activated behavior of the resistivity should be ascribed to correlation effects rather than to the band structure, which should typically lead to much larger activation energies. The band structure and, accordingly, the hopping integral t appear to sizably depend on the local structure, in particular, on the buckling of the Pc ring plane and on the rotation of adjacent Pc rings, hence it is possible that at high T the crossover from a negative to a positive $d\rho/dT$ with increasing T, might be ascribed to those structural effects.

In conclusion, we have clearly shown that both ac susceptibility and μ SR probe a high-T-activated spin dynamics in neutral [LnPc₂] molecular magnets, characterized by quantitatively similar correlation times. At low temperature both techniques exhibit a flattening of the correlation time which is associated with tunneling processes. Nevertheless, from μ SR one estimates correlation times which are one order of magnitude shorter than the ones derived by ac-susceptibility measurements. This discrepancy between microscopic and macroscopic techniques indicates that there must be some correlation among Tb³⁺ moments, which causes fluctuations which do not contribute to the uniform macroscopic susceptibility. The analysis of the static uniform susceptibility and of the μ SR relaxation rates in [DyPc₂]⁰ have allowed for a better characterization of the CF splitting of the J=15/2multiplet and of the spin-phonon couplings driving the activated dynamics in this compound than was reported in Ref. 16. Finally, we have reported the behavior of the resistivity in $[TbPc_2]^0$, where a low-*T* activated behavior, possibly arising from electron-electron Hubbard-type correlations, is evidenced.

SPIN AND CHARGE DYNAMICS IN [TbPc₂]⁰...

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Technical support from C. Baines at PSI and from C. Dhital at Boston College is gratefully acknowledged. The research activity in Pavia was supported by Fondazione Cariplo (Grant No. 2008-2229) research funds, the activity in Karlsruhe by the ERA-Chemistry project "MULTIFUN." We would like to thank O. Fuhr for carrying out the x-ray diffraction analysis. Work at Boston College was supported by National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMR-0710525.

- ¹L. Bogani and W. Wernsdorfer, Nature Mater. **7**, 179 (2008).
- ²M. N. Leuenberger and D. Loss, Nature (London) **410**, 789 (2001).
- ³A. K. Ekert and R. Jozsa, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 733 (1996).
- ⁴S. Klyatskaya, J. R. Galán Mascarós, L. Bogani, F. Hennrich, M. Kappes, W. Wernsdorfer, and M. Ruben, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **131**, 15143 (2009).
- ⁵L. Vitali, S. Fabris, A. Mosca Conte, S. Brink, M. Ruben, S. Baroni, and K. Kern, Nano Lett. **8**, 3364 (2008).
- ⁶B. Cage, S. E. Russek, R. Shoemaker, A. J. Barker, C. Stoldt, V. Ramachandaran, and N. S. Dalal, Polyhedron **26**, 2413 (2007).
- ⁷N. Ishikawa, M. Sugita, T. Ishikawa, S. Koshihara, and Y. Kaizu, J. Phys. Chem. B **108**, 11265 (2004).
- ⁸F. Branzoli, P. Carretta, M. Filibian, G. Zoppellaro, M. J. Graf, J. R. Galán Mascarós, O. Fuhr, S. Brink, and M. Ruben, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **131**, 4387 (2009).
- ⁹N. Ishikawa, M. Sugita, N. Tanaka, T. Ishikawa, S. Koshihara, and Y. Kaizu, Inorg. Chem. **43**, 5498 (2004).
- ¹⁰S. Takamatsu, T. Ishikawa, S. Koshihara, and N. Ishikawa, Inorg. Chem. 46, 7250 (2007).
- ¹¹N. Ishikawa, Y. Mizuno, S. Takamatsu, T. Ishikawa, and S. Koshihara, Inorg. Chem. **47**, 10217 (2008).
- ¹²F. Branzoli, M. Filibian, P. Carretta, S. Klyatskaya, and M. Ruben, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 220404 (2009).
- ¹³ M. Moussavi, A. De Cian, J. Fischer, and R. Weiss, Inorg. Chem. **27**, 1287 (1988); N. Koike, H. Uekusa, Y. Ohashi, C. Harnoode, F. Kitamura, T. Ohsaka, and K. Tokuda, *ibid.* **35**, 5798 (1996); K. Kasuga, M. Tsutsui, R. C. Petterson, K. Tatsumi, N. Van Opdenbosch, G. Pepe, and E. F. Meyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **102**, 4835 (1980).

- ¹⁴See supplementary material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.82.134401 for a photograph of $[TbPc_2]^0$ crystals. Also for the temperature dependence of the muon relaxation rate in zero field for $[DyPc_2]^0$ and $[TbPc_2]^0$, at T < 1 K.
- ¹⁵ K. Katoh, Y. Yoshida, M. Yamashita, H. Miyasaka, B. K. Breedlove, T. Kajiwara, S. Takaishi, N. Ishikawa, H. Isshiki, Y. F. Zhang, T. Komeda, M. Yamagishi, and J. Takeya, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **131**, 9967 (2009).
- ¹⁶N. Ishikawa, M. Sugita, T. Okubo, N. Tanaka, T. Iino, and Y. Kaizu, Inorg. Chem. **42**, 2440 (2003).
- ¹⁷A. Schenck, Muon Spin Rotation: Principles and Applications in Solid State Physics (Hilger, Bristol, 1986).
- ¹⁸A. Lascialfari, Z. H. Jang, F. Borsa, P. Carretta, and D. Gatteschi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3773 (1998).
- ¹⁹J. Villain, F. Hartmann-Boutron, R. Sessoli, and A. Rettori, Europhys. Lett. **27**, 159 (1994).
- ²⁰C. B. P. Finn, R. Orbach, and W. P. Wolf, Proc. Phys. Soc. London **77**, 261 (1961); R. Orbach, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **264**, 458 (1961).
- ²¹A. Abragam, *Principles of Nuclear Magnetism* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1961).
- ²²M. Belesi, E. Micotti, M. Mariani, F. Borsa, A. Lascialfari, S. Carretta, P. Santini, G. Amoretti, E. J. L. Mcinnes, I. S. Tidmarsh, and J. Hawkett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 177201 (2009).
- ²³R. Rousseau, R. Aroca, and M. L. Rodriguez-Méndez, J. Mol. Struct. **356**, 49 (1995).
- ²⁴M. Filibian, P. Carretta, M. C. Mozzati, P. Ghigna, G. Zoppellaro, and M. Ruben, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 117601 (2008).