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Bi-stable charge-neutral iron(II) spin-crossover (SCO) complexes are a class of switchable molecular materials proposed for molecule-based switching and memory applications. In this study, we report on the SCO behavior of a series of iron(II) complexes composed of rationally designed 2-(1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-6-(1H-tetrazol-5-yl)pyridine (ptp) ligands. The powder forms of [Fe^{2+}(R-ptp^2)] complexes tethered with less-bulky substituents—R = H (1), R = CH_2OH (2), and R = COOCH_3 (3) previously reported—at the 4-position of the pyridine ring of the ptp skeleton showed abrupt and hysteretic SCO at or above room temperature (RT), whereas complex 5 featuring a bulky pyrene substituent showed incomplete and gradual SCO behavior. The role of intermolecular interactions, lattice solvent, and electronic nature of the chemical substituents (R) in turning the SCO of the complexes is elucidated.

Introduction

Spin-crossover (SCO) active transition metal complexes capable of undergoing hysteretic spin-state switching, upon application of external stimulus such as temperature or light, between the low spin (LS) and the high spin (HS) states or vice versa at or around room temperature (RT) are promising candidates to fabricate molecule-based electronics and spintronics devices. Factors such as ligand field strength, nature of counter anions, lattice solvent/guest molecules, and intermolecular interactions govern SCO. The ligand field strength could be modulated by a careful ligand design strategy, as exemplified by the substituent dependence of spin-state switching in the solid and solution phases. Spin-crossover is accompanied by bond length alteration (Δr) with concomitant volume change (ΔV). In solution, the lack of intermolecular interactions manifests as a gradual SCO transition that is not useful for materials applications. On the contrary, in the solid-state, the aforementioned structural rearrangements generate cooperativity, leading to abrupt- or even hysteretic-transitions when cooperativity is strong. Consequently, the SCO of metal centres is often investigated in the solid-state to harness SCO-based applications. Although crystal engineering approaches have been proposed, having strict control over intermolecular interactions in the crystal lattice of an SCO complex is difficult to achieve and a complex phenomenon to model. The synthesis of counter-anion free charge-neutral complexes simplifies the problem to an extent and allows one to focus more on the role of intermolecular interactions between the switching entities. It is reported that a more organized molecular environment in a crystal lattice blocks the occurrence of the SCO because lattice constraints inhibit the structural reorganizations accompanying the SCO. On the other hand, there is no general rule of how lattice solvent molecules affect the SCO, and the effect of solvent on the SCO behavior is case dependent. In short, a favourable crystallographic environment composed of appropriate intermolecular contacts and presence or absence of lattice guest(s) seems to govern the
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occurrence and nature of spin-state switching of an iron(II) complex.

Charge-neutral complexes are potential candidates to analyse SCO from the above discussed perspective and to obtain spin-state switching suitable for applications.\textsuperscript{73,74} In this context, we have developed a new family of charge-neutral iron(II) complexes based on pyrazol-1-yl-6-(1\textit{H}-tetrazol-5-yl)pyridine (ptp) ligand\textsuperscript{d} and studied the SCO of two [Fe(R-ptp)\textsubscript{2}] complexes—[Fe(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{2}] (1) and [Fe(L\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}] (3) (Chart 1). Complex 1 in its crystalline form showed bi-stable SCO with \(T_{1/2} = 295\) K and \(\Delta T = 5\) K.\textsuperscript{6} The powder form of complex 3 showed above RT SCO with \(T_{1/2} = \sim 348\) K and \(\Delta T = \sim 3\) K, whereas the corresponding crystalline complex remained LS until 385 K.\textsuperscript{75} The utility of 1 as a solvent sensor\textsuperscript{76} and the ability of the complex to modulate spin-polarized transport\textsuperscript{77} in a single-molecule junction has been demonstrated. The lanthanoid (Eu\textsuperscript{3+} and Tb\textsuperscript{3+}) luminescence sensitizing ability of ptp ligand systems, namely, L\textsubscript{1}\textsuperscript{−} and L\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} has also been reported,\textsuperscript{78} elucidating the usefulness of the ligands to construct functional charge-neutral transition metal and lanthanoid complexes.

To systematically explore structure–SCO property relationships in [Fe(R-ptp)\textsubscript{2}] complexes, three new charge-neutral complexes—2, 4, and 5 (Chart 1)—were synthesized; pyrene tethered complex 5 could also serve as an useful model to study spin-state dependent conductance switching in single-molecule junctions.\textsuperscript{79} In the following sections, SCO of the newly synthesized complexes, along with the SCO behavior of the previously reported complexes (1 and 3), are discussed to elucidate factors governing spin-state switching in the [Fe(R-ptp)\textsubscript{2}] family of complexes.

### Results and discussion

#### Synthesis of the ligands and the complexes

The precursor ligand L\textsuperscript{4}H was obtained from 2,6-dibromopyridine-4-carboxylic acid (Scheme S1\textsuperscript{†}), and the ligands L\textsubscript{n}H (\(n = 2\) and 5) were synthesized from L\textsuperscript{4}H as depicted in Scheme 1. The syntheses of complexes 1 (in crystalline form) and 3 (in crystalline and powder forms) were reported in our previous studies.\textsuperscript{6,75} To make comparisons meaningful, 1 was synthesized in powder form as detailed in the ESI.\textsuperscript{†} Dark-red block single crystals of 4 suitable for X-ray diffraction (XRD) were obtained by performing the complexation reaction under dilute condition (Scheme 1, (c) condition 1) and allowing the mother liquor to stand under ambient conditions for a period of 2–3 weeks. Under similar conditions, about 3 mg of crystalline solids were obtained for 2, and the crystals were found to be too small for X-ray structure determination. The powder form of complexes 2 and 4 were obtained by performing the complexation reactions in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}/MeOH solvent mixture using relatively concentrated ligand solutions (Scheme 1, (c) condition 2). The pyrene tethered complex 5 was obtained by treating Fe(II) salt with the corresponding ligand solution in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}/MeOH solvent mixture. Attempts to crystallize 5 from CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}/MeOH solvent mixture were not successful. However,
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slow evaporation of a saturated solution of 5 in 1,2-dichlorobenzene/MeOH solvent mixture yielded a few crystals suitable for X-ray analysis, which helped us to elucidate the molecular structure of 5 as detailed in the following section. Photophysical characteristics of the newly synthesized ligands and complexes are discussed in the ESI; see Fig. S1 and S2.†

Crystallographic analyses

Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of 4 performed at 173 K revealed the crystallization of the complex in monoclinic crystal system with $C2/c$ space group and absence of lattice solvents (crystallographic data in Table S1†). A coordination number of six was obtained with three nitrogen atoms from each ligand donating electron density to iron(II) in a slightly distorted octahedral coordination geometry, as shown in Fig. 1a.

The asymmetric unit contains half a molecule of 4, the Fe atom being on the inversion centre. The unit cell contains four complex units. The average Fe–N bond length of 1.93(4) Å and angular parameters listed in Table 1 indicate the LS state of 4 at 173 K. Intermolecular contacts involving H5 (pyridine)⋯N5 (tetrazole) ($d = 2.42$ Å) and N6 (tetrazole)⋯H3(pyrazole) ($d = 2.28$ Å) atoms are observed in the crystal lattice of 4 as shown in Fig. 1(b).

Complex 5 crystallized with methanol solvent in the triclinic crystal system with $P1$ space group (crystallographic data in Table S2†). The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of 5 and one molecule of methanol hydrogen-bonded to tetrazole nitrogen (Fig. S3(a)†). The structure is correct with $R = 8.60$; however, alkyl and pyrene segments of one of the ligands are disordered. The π–π interactions between the disordered pyrene segments form an interlayer between successive layers of the regularly arranged complexes, conferring a lamellar character to the structure (Fig. S3(b)†). The bond lengths and angular parameters collected in Table 1 revealed LS state of complex 5 at 173 K.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>1.91(7)</td>
<td>1.90(2)</td>
<td>1.90(1)</td>
<td>1.902(7)</td>
<td>2.11(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>1.93(4)</td>
<td>1.95(5)</td>
<td>1.96(1)</td>
<td>1.963(7)</td>
<td>2.24(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma$</td>
<td>1.96(9)</td>
<td>1.94(2)</td>
<td>1.93(1)</td>
<td>1.933(5)</td>
<td>2.13(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$ (pyridine)–$M$ (pyridine) ($\phi$)</td>
<td>177.4(7)</td>
<td>173.6(7)</td>
<td>177.3(2)</td>
<td>178.38(15)</td>
<td>166.8(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma$</td>
<td>160.3(8)</td>
<td>160.3(8)</td>
<td>160.3(1)</td>
<td>160.42(13)</td>
<td>150.0(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>87.1(8)</td>
<td>85.0(3)</td>
<td>89.6(5)</td>
<td>86.2(9)</td>
<td>135.03(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>89.7(5)</td>
<td>86.9(3)</td>
<td>82.8(1)</td>
<td>86.5(5)</td>
<td>89.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>79.9(8)</td>
<td>80.2(2)</td>
<td>80.2(1)</td>
<td>80.2(3)</td>
<td>74.99(5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Sigma$ M = Fe for 1, 3, 4, and 5 and M = Zn for 6.
complexes—1, 3, 4, and 5—collected in Table 1 is of comparable magnitude reported for the analogous LS-[Fe(1-bpp)₂] complexes, indicating similar coordination geometry associated with both family of complexes. A complete elucidation of structure SCO property relationships in [Fe(R-tpp)]₂ complexes requires crystallographic data of HS-[Fe(R-tpp)]₂ complexes, which have eluded our grasp so far.

This experimental gap could be tentatively filled by considering the X-ray structure of [Zn(L₃)₂]·MeOH (6) as a structural mimic of HS-[Fe(L₇)]₂; the similar ionic radius of HS-Fe(II) and Zn(II) ions facilitates such a comparison. The structural parameters of 6 are collected in Tables 1 and S3.† The X-ray structure of 6 depicted in Fig. S4† indicates a distorted coordination environment (Σ = 135°) around Zn(II) analogous to the observations made for HS-[Fe(R-bpp)]₂ family of complexes. A reduced φ = 166.8(9)° observed for 6, relative to complexes 1, 3, 4, and 5, indicates more rotated nature of one of L₃ with respect to the other about the Zn(II) centre. The dihedral twist (θ) = 89.77° reveals the absence of twist between the planes corresponding to the L₃ ligands. The continuous shape measure (CShM) values of the complexes 1 (2.07), 3 (2.09), 4 (2.04), and 5 (1.99) indicate a slightly deviated nature of the coordination polyhedra from the ideal octahedron (O₆). A CShM value of 4.85 obtained for complex 6 (HS-mimic) evidences a relatively more distorted nature of the HS-polyhedron in comparison with its LS counterparts. In short, a relatively more distorted coordination environment around Fe(II), and rotation of one ligand with respect to the other is envisioned for the HS-[Fe(R-tpp)]₂ complexes relative to their LS counterparts.

Spin-state switching behavior of the complexes

The previously reported crystalline complex 1 showed bi-stable SCO (T₁/₂ = ~295 K and ΔT = ~5 K). On the other hand, the powder form of COOMe substituted complex 3 exhibited above-RT SCO (T₁/₂ = ~348 K and ΔT = ~3 K), whereas the lattice solvent-free crystalline form of 3 remained LS until 400 K. The newly reported complexes 2, 4, and 5 were all obtained in powder form, and complex 4 was obtained both in crystalline and powder forms. To facilitate comparisons between the SCO behavior among the complexes, complex 1 was prepared in powder form, which showed bi-stable SCO, like its crystalline counterpart, with T₁/₂ = ~296 K and ΔT = 7 K as depicted in Fig. 2a. The powder form of complex 2 also underwent an abrupt SCO with T₁/₂ = ~301 K and ΔT = 5 K as shown in Fig. 2b; XmT product of 3.3 cm³ K mol⁻¹ and 0.11 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 385 K and 5 K, respectively, indicates a genuine temperature-induced SCO. Conversely, the powder form of 4 showed XmT product of 0.3 cm³ K mol⁻¹ and 0.75 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 5 K and 385 K, respectively (Fig. 2b) indicating predominantly LS character of it along with a small remnant HS fraction. The lattice solvent-free crystalline sample of complex 4 is diamagnetic until 385 K analogous to 3.

Note the slight downslope in the ~275 K–75 K temperature range for 2 that follows a steeper variation of the XmT product below ~75 K. The downslope likely reveals the presence of a remnant HS fraction of complex 2 below the main SCO region and its gradual switching to LS state on decreasing temperature. The steeper variation below ~75 K is attributed to the depopulation of zero field split (ZFS) excited levels of the HS term 5T₁g.

The pyrene tethered complex 5 is LS at 300 K and underwent incomplete SCO upon heating with the onset of spin-state switching around 350 K as shown in Fig. S5.†

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and variable temperature small- and wide-angle X-ray scattering (VT-SWAXS) studies

To analyze the SCO behavior of powder samples of 1 and 2, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and small- and wide-angle X-ray scattering (SWAXS) studies were carried out. DSC measurements were performed to get insights into the phase, enthalpy (ΔH), and entropy (ΔS) changes associated with the SCO of the complexes. A heat flow versus T plot of the powder sample of 1 showed peaks in the heating (T₁ = 299 K) and cooling (T₁ = 292 K) modes as depicted in Fig. 3a, whereas the powder sample of 2 showed peaks T₁ = 304 K and T₁ = 296 K as depicted in Fig. 3b. These transition temperatures match well with the T₁/₂ values obtained from SQUID measurements.
The observed difference between the first and second cycles for 1 and 2 is tentatively attributed to a possible structural reorganization in the crystal lattice as previously reported for 3. Subsequent cycling resulted in stabilized thermal behaviour as of cycle 2. The $\Delta H$ and $\Delta S$ values of 1 and 2 obtained from the DSC analyses are in the range observed for iron(II)-SCO complexes ($\Delta H = 3$–$27 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S = 22$–$94 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$).

The entropy variation associated with the SCO is primarily due to electronic ($\Delta S_{\text{elec}}$) and vibrational ($\Delta S_{\text{vib}}$) entropy contributions. The electronic entropy variation—$\Delta S_{\text{elec}} = 13.38 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$—arises from spin-multiplicity change associated with the LS $\rightarrow$ HS switching. Intramolecular and intermolecular vibrational modes contribute to $\Delta S_{\text{vib}}$. Thus, the excess entropies of 31.72 $\text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (1) and 19.32 $\text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (2) obtained for the complexes are primarily due to vibrational entropy change between the LS and HS states of 1 and 2. On a comparative scale, previously reported complex 3 showed greater entropy variation (see Table 2) associated with the SCO relative to 1 and 2. Tentatively, this might come from more significantly affected molecular geometry, ligand planes being somewhat twisted in the LS-complex 3, but almost orthogonal in 1 as in the model HS-complex 6 (vide infra).

In any event, the $\Delta S$ values discussed above, obtained using the relation $\Delta S = \Delta H/T$, is correct only for non-cooperative systems. An assessment of $\Delta S$ incorporating cooperative intermolecular interactions could be performed by fitting the SCO profiles with Slichter–Drickamer (S–D) model. A good fit of the cooling branch of $\chi M/T$ versus $T$ profile is obtained for 3 (Fig. S8†); the quality of the fits are less satisfactory for complexes 1 and 2 (Fig. S6 and S7†), most probably due to the gradual HS $\rightarrow$ LS switching associated with the complexes below $\sim$300 K. Cooperativity parameters ($\Gamma/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$) of 5, 7.2, and 9.7 are obtained for complexes 1, 2, and 3, respectively; the higher value of $\Gamma$ obtained for 3 corroborate well with the abrupt SCO associated with the complex.

To further investigate the structural reorganization associated with the SCO in 1 and 2, SWAXS studies were carried out. From the data depicted in Fig. 4 and 5, the medium and wide-angle ranges of the SWAXS patterns of 1 and 2 reversibly change between the LS and HS states, as the different geometries of the complexes in their corresponding LS and HS states modify the molecular arrangement upon spin-state switching.

These structural changes appeared to be fully reproducible and reversible on crossing several times between the LS and HS states of 1 and 2 analogues to the previously reported complex 3. Overall, the SWAXS patterns of the complexes reveal the stable and reproducible SCO behavior of the complexes.

### Density functional theory (DFT) studies

The relative stability of the HS and LS states of the complexes 1, 2, 3, and 4 were studied by DFT computations in gas-phase, and the results are compared with the available experimental data to get insights into the role of ligand electronic substituent in altering SCO. The internal energy at the HS and LS states computed at their structural minima represents the “electronic contribution” to enthalpy ($\Delta H_{\text{elec}}$). In this approach, a larger gap between energy minima delays the SCO.

#### Table 2 Thermodynamic parameters associated with SCO of the complexes 1, 2, and 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_1^{\text{up}}$ (K)</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1^{\text{down}}$ (K)</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>295$^b$</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T$ (K)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta H$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>13.4$^c$ (11)$^f$</td>
<td>9.8 (13.5)</td>
<td>45.1$^c$ (37.1)$^f$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$From the second cycle. $^b$Discrepancies between the $T$ values obtained from SQUID and DSC could have arisen due to the slightly different phase transition kinetics associated with the samples used for the SQUID and DSC measurements. $^c$Values obtained by fitting the $\chi M/T$ versus $T$ plots with S-D model.
Variable temperature SWAXS patterns of 1 in powder form. The notations Cn (n = 1–4) and Hn (n = 1–4) represent crystalline states after the nth heating or cooling cycle associated with the HS state (red curves and labels) and LS state (black), respectively, at indicated temperature of patterns.

Variable temperature SWAXS patterns of 2 in powder form. The notations Cn (n = 1–4) and Hn (n = 1–4), labels and colours are as defined in Fig. 4.

to a higher temperature, as higher entropy term $T\Delta S$ needs to be reached at transition. All things being otherwise equal, such DFT calculations should therefore follow the trend in transition temperatures ($T_{1/2}$). The effect of the ligand modification on the ligand-field splitting is evaluated through the computation of $\Delta\Omega$ for the LS minima.

Notice that $\Delta\Omega$ corresponds to the energy difference between the antibonding $e_g$ and non-bonding $e_g$ sets of orbitals in an octahedral compound. Despite the loss of octahedral symmetry in the actual molecules, for simplicity, we will maintain the orbital notation. The magnitude of $\Delta\Omega$ is occasionally considered of prime importance in shaping $\Delta H_{elec}$ when other effects such as intra- or intermolecular interactions are not relevant. In the present case, the studied complexes differ in the functional groups located in the distal position, far away from the coordinating pyrazole, tetrazole, and pyridine nitrogen. Therefore, the complexes are suitable for a study of the ligand-field splitting in gas-phase conditions. Modelling of complexes 1 to 4 thereby led to molecular conformations in HS and LS states in well agreement with results from single crystal X-ray diffraction. In particular, the average Fe–N bond lengths in the LS states coincides within 0.01 Å with experimental values and discrepancies with model HS-complex 6 are only of 0.01–0.03 Å (Tables 3 and 1). Fe–N bonding strengths are thus correctly implemented in our modelling, otherwise leading to distortion parameters $\Sigma$ in LS states within 5° from effective values. Based on these validated model conformations, computations revealed larger $\Delta H_{elec}$ values for 3 and 4 (see Table 3), which implies a larger $T_{1/2}$ and therefore agrees with the high transition temperature found experimentally for 3 (~347 K), and predominantly LS state of 4 up to 385 K.

In turn, the $\Delta H_{elec}$ values of 1 and 2 are smaller than the values obtained for 3 and 4 and comparable to each other, in agreement with their measured transition temperatures (295 K (1) versus 301 K (2)). It thus follows that the predicted $\Delta H_{elec}$ and $T_{1/2}$ values capture the trend of the experimental SCO behavior. That being said, the $T_{1/2}$ values are clearly overestimated by our computational method, which is probably due to (i) the usual error associated with the evaluation of $\Delta H_{elec}$ with the current electronic structure method (PBE+U+D2 has a mean absolute error of 4.4 kJ mol$^{-1}$), and above all (ii) to the contribution of crystal packing, (notice that an enthalpy difference of 5 kJ mol$^{-1}$ would translate into a 100 K difference in $T_{1/2}$).

The ligand-field splitting is analysed by looking at the energy of the $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ orbitals as discussed above. Notice that only the average orbital energy is considered and not the further fine-structure splitting due to the loss of octahedral symmetry. Within the $t_{2g}$ set, the $d_{yz}$ and $d_{xz}$ orbitals appear lower in energy, followed by $d_{xy}$, which is also the HOMO (Fig. S9 and S10†). The first six unoccupied orbitals are $\pi$-orbitals that are mainly localized in the pyridine ring and have some Fe contribution. Soon after, one finds the $e_g$ set: first the $d_{x^2}$, and later the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital, slightly separated in energy. The value of $\Delta\Omega$ is calculated using the average energy of each set (see Table 4 and Fig. S9†).
To facilitate an understanding of the role of electronic structure modification in tuning SCO characteristics of complexes 1, 2, 3, and 4, experimentally obtained thermodynamics parameters of the complexes 1, 2, 3 are compared with the values obtained from DFT studies. DFT calculations estimated higher $T_{1/2}$ values for 3 and 4 relative to 1 and 2, as shown in Table 3, which is consistent with the experimentally observed trend (Table 2). The electron-withdrawing (EW) groups lower the spin-state switching behavior in solution phase. 3–8 On the other hand, tethering bulky substituents with switching cores either block the SCO or effect gradual spin-state switching due to steric effects. The ~6 K increase in $T_{1/2}$ observed for 2 ($T_{1/2} = \sim 301$ K) relative to 1 ($T_{1/2} = \sim 295$ K) thus tentatively, in the absence of X-ray structure for 2, relates to the different distribution of switching entities in the crystallographic cells. On the other hand, the gradual onset of SCO in 5 is a testimony of bulky pyrene substituent mediated blocking of SCO via steric interactions as previously reported for [Fe(bpp)$_2$] complexes. 82 In any event, some change of SCO behaviour for 5 was fatal, since the molecular self-assembly is deeply modified relative to 1 and 3 and yields a crystalline structure with lamellar character and disordered interlayers. Further, complexes 1 and 2 showed a less abrupt $\chi_m T$ jump compared to the rather abrupt SCO characteristics of 4, evidencing the coupling between SCO and intermolecular interactions. The absence of SCO in lattice solvent-free crystals of 3 and 4 is a proof of rigid lattice or strong intermolecular contacts inhibiting structural reorganization from LS → HS state. Note that the solvent-free crystalline form of 3 reported by us is light-induced excited spin state trapping (LIESST) inactive, whereas its microcrystalline counterpart showed LIESST effect, evidencing strong intermolecular interactions prohibiting the SCO in 3. 75 Thus we believe that the presence of lattice solvent molecules (Fig. S12†) and less dense molecular organization in the crystalline form of 1 and powder forms of 1, 2, and 3 facilitated SCO. However, the lack of suitable intermolecular contacts between switching cores in the crystalline structure of pyrene tethered complex 5 rendered SCO gradual.

Spin-crossover also depends on ligand field strength around Fe(II) centres. Consequently, attempts to engineer SCO via chemical means by tailoring a parent SCO entity with electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups have been made successfully, especially in the solution phase. 23–25,27–31 To facilitate an understanding of the role of electronic structure modification in tuning SCO characteristics of complexes 1, 2, 3, and 4, experimentally obtained thermodynamics parameters of the complexes 1, 2, 3 are compared with the values obtained from DFT studies. DFT calculations estimated higher $T_{1/2}$ values for 3 and 4 relative to 1 and 2, as shown in Table 3, which is consistent with the experimentally observed trend (Table 2). The electron-withdrawing (EW) groups lower the

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$d$(Fe–N)/Å</th>
<th>$\Sigma^0$</th>
<th>$d$(Fe–N)/Å</th>
<th>$\Sigma^0$</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{\text{elec}}$/kJ mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$T_{1/2}$/K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>2.188</td>
<td>166.37</td>
<td>1.944 (1.94(7))</td>
<td>87.86</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.189</td>
<td>157.97</td>
<td>1.950</td>
<td>88.63</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.184 (2.16(3))</td>
<td>164.26</td>
<td>1.941 (1.93(3))</td>
<td>87.33</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.175</td>
<td>161.37</td>
<td>1.935 (1.93(8))</td>
<td>85.34</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Sigma = \sum_i^{12}[90 - \alpha_i]$, where $\alpha$ are the twelve cis-N-Fe-N angles around the Fe atom. Experimental values obtained from X-ray structural analysis.

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$t_{\text{g}}$/eV</th>
<th>$e_{\text{g}}$/eV</th>
<th>$\Delta_0$/eV</th>
<th>$\Delta_0$/kJ mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{\text{elec}}$/kJ mol$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−1.30</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>365.5</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−1.29</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>364.2</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−1.12</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>367.6</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−1.13</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>367.8</td>
<td>36.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results are clearly different for the two sets of compounds: 1 and 2 have $\Delta_0$ values of 3.78 eV and 3.77 eV, respectively, whereas those with −COOR ligands (3 and 4) have $\Delta_0$ values of 3.80 eV and 3.81 eV, respectively (note, 0.01 eV $\sim$116 K). It thus follows that the ligand field splitting is smaller in the former than in the latter set of complexes. Such difference in the ligand field splitting is in excellent agreement with the trend in $\Delta H_{\text{elec}}$ values discussed above. The −COOR (R $\equiv$CH$_3$ or −C$_2$H$_5$) electron-withdrawing group induced back-bonding interaction with the Fe-centre, thus increasing the ligand-field splitting, which leads to an SCO transition at higher temperatures. Interestingly, the computations also reproduce the experimentally observed shorter Fe–N bond distances (see Tables 1 and 3) in the −COOR series of complexes evidencing the ability of these ligands, L$^1$H and L$^2$H, for better π-back bonding interaction with the metal. In the literature, confirmation of such substituted induced ligand field modulation and the associated $T_{1/2}$ variation is obtained by studying spin-state switching behavior in solution phase. 10

Unfortunately, a significant problem we faced during this study is the sluggish solubility of the complexes in common organic solvents and in binary solvent mixtures such as dichloromethane/methanol. Though the complexes showed appreciable solubility in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), complete solubilization was not realized, prohibiting detailed solution-phase magnetic measurements based on the Evans method. 85

Functionalization of the parent SCO complex 1 with substituents modulated the SCO by imparting additional electronic effects and intermolecular interactions between the switching entities. For example, many complexes featuring substituents capable of hydrogen bonding interactions showed cooperative SCO attributed to hydrogen bond mediated elastic interactions. 3,86 On the other hand, tethering bulky substituents with switching cores either block the SCO or effect gradual spin-state switching due to steric effects. The ~6 K increase in $T_{1/2}$ observed for 2 ($T_{1/2} = \sim 301$ K) relative to 1 ($T_{1/2} = \sim 295$ K) thus tentatively, in the absence of X-ray structure for 2, relates to the different distribution of switching entities in the crystallographic cells. On the other hand, the gradual onset of SCO in 5 is a testimony of bulky pyrene substituent mediated blocking of SCO via steric interactions as previously reported for [Fe(bpp)$_2$] complexes. 82 In any event, some change of SCO behaviour for 5 was fatal, since the molecular self-assembly is deeply modified relative to 1 and 3 and yields a crystalline structure with lamellar character and disordered interlayers. Further, complexes 1 and 2 showed a less abrupt $\chi_m T$ jump compared to the rather abrupt SCO characteristics of 4, evidencing the coupling between SCO and intermolecular interactions. The absence of SCO in lattice solvent-free crystals of 3 and 4 is a proof of rigid lattice or strong intermolecular contacts inhibiting structural reorganization from LS → HS state. Note that the solvent-free crystalline form of 3 reported by us is light-induced excited spin state trapping (LIESST) inactive, whereas its microcrystalline counterpart showed LIESST effect, evidencing strong intermolecular interactions prohibiting the SCO in 3. 75 Thus we believe that the presence of lattice solvent molecules (Fig. S12†) and less dense molecular organization in the crystalline form of 1 and powder forms of 1, 2, and 3 facilitated SCO. However, the lack of suitable intermolecular contacts between switching cores in the crystalline structure of pyrene tethered complex 5 rendered SCO gradual.

Spin-crossover also depends on ligand field strength around Fe(II) centres. Consequently, attempts to engineer SCO via chemical means by tailoring a parent SCO entity with electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups have been made successfully, especially in the solution phase. 23–25,27–31 To facilitate an understanding of the role of electronic structure modification in tuning SCO characteristics of complexes 1, 2, 3, and 4, experimentally obtained thermodynamics parameters of the complexes 1, 2, 3 are compared with the values obtained from DFT studies. DFT calculations estimated higher $T_{1/2}$ values for 3 and 4 relative to 1 and 2, as shown in Table 3, which is consistent with the experimentally observed trend (Table 2). The electron-withdrawing (EW) groups lower the
energy of ligand-based \( \pi^* \) molecular orbitals (MOs), thereby increasing the conjugation between ligand and metal-centred \( t_{2g} \) orbitals facilitating metal–ligand back-bonding (d–\( \pi^* \)) interaction. Interestingly, this line of analysis is in excellent agreement with the report by Kaizaki and co-workers detailing the role of pyridyl substituent in altering the \( \pi^* \)–metal energy of ligand-based MOs, thereby enhancing the conjugation between ligand and metal-centred orbitals facilitating metal–ligand back-bonding (d–\( \pi^* \)) interaction. Moreover, recent solution-phase studies of the closely resembling [Fe(R-ptp)\(_2\)]\( ^0 \) family of complexes is elucidated. The coordination environment around the iron(II) in LS-[Fe(R-tppt)\(_2\)]\( ^0 \) complexes is close to the ideal octahedral geometry expected for such six-coordinate complexes. Remarkably, the SCO in complexes 1, 2, and 3 is cooperative, hysteretic, and reversible as inferred from SQUID, DSC, and SWAXS measurements. Moreover, a judicious ligand designing strategy has been invoked to attempt SCO programming in the charge-neutral complexes. A simple variation of the nature of the electronic interaction at the 4-position of the pyridine ring of ptp skeleton from \( R = H/\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \) to COOR favoured LS state in COOR series of complexes—3 and 4—around RT. DFT calculations evidence the electronic substituent effects governing \( T_{1/2} \) in complexes 1, 2, 3, and 4 by predicting greater enthalpy change and increased \( T_{1/2} \) value for the COOR substituted complexes compared with 1 and 2. Overall, the results presented in this study are encouraging towards the ligand structure mediated pre-synthesis tuning of SCO temperature with consequences towards the realization of molecular electronic/spintronic devices based on bi-stable SCO complexes.

**Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

**Acknowledgements**

Grant Agency Innovation FRC is acknowledged for the financial support for the project Self-assembly of spin-crossover (SCO) complexes on graphene. M. R. thanks the DFG priority program 1928 “COORNETS” for the generous support.

**References**